

WELCOME DAYTON INITIATIVE

Evaluation Completed By:
Center for Urban and Public Affairs
Wright State University
3640 Colonel Glenn Hwy.
(937) 775-2940

February 2014



Executive Summary

Community Views on Immigration Survey

During November and December of 2013, the Center for Urban and Public Affairs (CUPA) worked with the Welcome Dayton Initiative and the Human Relations Council to conduct a survey of the community's views toward immigrants in Dayton. This survey consisted of telephone surveys of native-born, adult residents of Dayton.

Highlights of the survey include:

Attitudes toward Immigrants

- 7 out of 10 respondents were comfortable with having immigrants living near them.
 - Minorities, older individuals, and people with a college degree were significantly more comfortable with immigrants.
- There is a bias against Middle Eastern and Latino immigrants.
 - This bias was most pronounced among young, male, and Caucasian respondents.
- Only 58.1% of respondents were comfortable with having immigrants be a majority in their neighborhood.
 - Minorities and people 35-54 years of age were most comfortable with an immigrant majority in their neighborhood.

Perceptions of Immigrants

Respondents were asked if they agreed with six statements about immigrants' impact on the economy, community, and American culture. Four statements were positive and two were negative.

- 6 out of 10 respondents agreed with each of the four positive statements.
- 44.1% of respondents felt that immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton.
 - This view was significantly more prevalent among 18-34 year olds and males.
 - There is a relationship between those who think immigrants take jobs away from native-born people in Dayton and those who would not encourage immigrants to settle here.
- 73.7% felt that immigrants felt welcome in Dayton.
- 62.6% felt that immigrants were discriminated against.

Perceptions of Welcome Dayton and Human Relations Council

- Only 33.2% of respondents had heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative.
 - 86.6% of those who had heard of Welcome Dayton had a positive perception of it.

- People from census tracts with a lower concentration of immigrants, minorities, 18-34 year olds, women, and people without college degrees were least likely to have heard of Welcome Dayton.
- The number of people who had heard of the Human Relations Council rose from 12.2% to 33.7%.
 - 90.4% of those who had heard of HRC had a positive perception of it.
 - People from census tracts with a higher concentration of immigrants, Caucasians, 18-34 year olds, and those without college degrees were least likely to have heard of HRC.

Summary

Caucasians and males were the least comfortable with immigrants living near them and with immigrants from every region. Males also had an overall more negative perception of immigrants. Caucasians were more likely to think immigrants did not work hard to learn English, were not beneficial to the economy, and were more likely to take jobs away from people in Dayton.

The Pew Research Center consistently places Millennials (teens and twenty-somethings) as the demographic most accepting of diversity. However, this CUPA survey found that 18-34 year-olds were the least comfortable with immigrants moving to their neighborhoods and generally had more negative perceptions of immigrants. This may be due to only surveying people with landlines.

To further improve immigrant-community relations, efforts should be made to encourage more interactions between immigrants and natural citizens. Nearly every measure of attitude toward and perception of immigrants was significantly higher for individuals who had a direct connection to an immigrant, as parent, friend, or simply acquaintance.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
List of Figures	2
List of Tables.....	2
Introduction	3
Methodology.....	3
Survey Results	4
Demographics.....	4
Connections with Immigrants	6
Attitudes toward Immigrants	7
Perceptions of Immigrants	12
Perceptions of Welcome Dayton and HRC	16
Data Summary	18
Appendix A.....	19
Appendix B - Frequency Tables	26
Appendix C - Crosstabs	38

List of Figures

Figure 1. Respondent age and gender.....	4
Figure 2. Respondent Race	5
Figure 3. Respondent education level	5
Figure 4: Respondent age, gender, and race of the high concentration sample	6
Figure 5: Respondent age, gender, and race of the low concentration sample.....	6
Figure 6: Respondents’ feelings on the clustering of immigrants	7
Figure 7: Racial groups and the Bogardus scale.....	8
Figure 8: Age groups and the Bogardus scale	9
Figure 9: Educational levels and the Bogardus scale.....	9
Figure 10: Respondents’ comfort level with immigrants from different regions	10
Figure 11: Different races’ comfort levels with immigrants from different regions	11
Figure 12: Comfort level of different races with immigrants becoming a majority in their neighborhood	12
Figure 13: Respondents agreement with six statements about immigrants.	12
Figure 14: How different racial groups perceive immigrants.....	13
Figure 15: Bogardus scale versus immigrants' effect on jobs	14
Figure 16: Bogardus scale versus immigrants’ effect on jobs by age subgroups	14
Figure 17: Bogardus scale versus perception score for all age subgroups	15
Figure 18: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative (WDI).....	16
Figure 19: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of WDI in each sample group	16
Figure 20: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of the Human Relations Council (HRC)	17
Figure 21: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of HRC in each sample group	17

List of Tables

Table 1: Respondents’ connections with immigrants	7
Table 2. Respondents’ comfort at each level of the Bogardus scale used	8
Table 3: All respondents answers to an immigrant majority in their neighborhood.....	11
Table 4: Comparison of perceptions of immigrants in Dayton and nationally	18

Introduction

Dayton currently has one of the highest levels of immigration in history with immigrants coming from all over the world. The Welcome Dayton plan was devised to help the community engage with these new residents and to work with them to revitalize neighborhoods and strengthen Dayton's economic base. As part of this plan, the Welcome Dayton Initiative and the Human Relations Council commissioned a survey to assess social attitudes and perceptions of the community toward immigrants and these programs. The survey results will help provide an understanding of the community's views on immigrants and provide direction for new initiatives.

Methodology

Questionnaire Design

The survey instrument was designed by researchers at Wright State University's Center for Urban and Public Affairs with the input and approval of the Welcome Dayton Program Coordinator and the Executive Director of the City of Dayton Human Relations Council. The questionnaire was developed from CUPA's experience in conducting surveys in the City of Dayton and by adapting several questions asked by other immigration and citizen perception surveys. The following surveys were consulted when creating the following questionnaire:

- International Social Survey Programme: National Identity, 1995
- NPR/Kaiser Family Foundation/Kennedy School of Government Immigration Study, 2004
- Hamilton College/Zogby International Immigration Opinion Poll, 2002

To view the survey instrument, see Appendix A.

Sampling Design

The sample was comprised of random listed telephone numbers for City of Dayton residents. Researchers sought to interview adult, native-born residents. Interviewers were trained to confirm that each respondent was 1) 18 years of age or older, 2) a City of Dayton resident and 3) native-born.¹ The sample was divided into two groups based on the concentration of immigrants in each City of Dayton Census tract. All Census tracts with a percentage of immigrants that was equal to or greater than the percentage for the City of Dayton (3.3 percent immigrants) were considered the "high concentration of immigrants" group, while Census tracts with a percentage of immigrants that is lower than the City of Dayton were considered the "low concentration of immigrants" group. Dividing the sample in this way allows for comparison between the high and low concentration groups.

Survey Implementation

Data collection lasted from early November to late December 2013. Interviewers used a Computer Aided Telephone Interviewing (CATI) software program that displays the questionnaire on a computer screen and allows the interviewer to enter the response directly into the computer. Such a system helps to minimize errors in gathering the data.

¹ Respondents who were born in the United States, in a US territory or on a US military installation were invited to take the survey.

A total of 773 individuals were interviewed to obtain a 95 percent confidence level and a ± 5.0 percent sampling error for the City as a whole.


Survey Results

Demographics

The following presents a demographic profile of survey respondents. The data were weighted by age, gender, and race. The data were weighted to provide more accurate estimates and to adjust the distribution of the sample data to reflect the demographics of the adult population of the city. By weighting the data, the responses of persons in various subgroups are adjusted to compensate for the over-representation or under-representation of these persons in the survey sample.


Figure 1 shows the age and gender of all survey respondents.

Figure 1. Respondent age and gender




In the development of the Welcome Dayton Initiative, diverse opinions and histories of immigration and integration within the community were considered. To determine if the cultural group with which respondents affiliated affected their views of immigrants, respondents were asked what racial group they identified with. Figure 2 shows the race of the survey respondents. Due to the small sample sizes of many races, the categories were collapsed into two categories: White/Caucasian and All Minorities.

Figure 2. Respondent Race



Education is thought to increase people’s exposure to and acceptance of different cultures. The education level of the survey respondents is shown in Figure 3. Nearly three-quarters of survey respondents (69.9%) have completed at least some college. The two most common response options showed 56.2% of the respondents had graduated high school but not yet completed a college degree.

Figure 3. Respondent education level



To determine if more interactions with immigrants affected people’s opinions, the sample was also divided according to the concentration of immigrants in their census tract. The average concentration of immigrants in the census tracts surveyed was 3.3%. People from tracts with a concentration of immigrants greater than the average

concentration of Dayton (3.3% and higher) were placed in the High Concentration group while those from areas of lower concentration (3.2% or lower) were placed in the Low Concentration group. Figures 4 and 5 show the age, gender, and race make-up of each of these samples.

Figure 4: Respondent age, gender, and race of the high concentration sample





Figure 5: Respondent age, gender, and race of the low concentration sample



Connections with Immigrants

To assess the likelihood that people interacted with immigrants, respondents were asked about their connections to immigrants. Table 1 shows the various connections respondents had with immigrants. Crosstabs showed that younger people and those that live in high immigrant concentration areas were more likely to be friends with immigrants. Women and those with college degrees were more likely to frequent businesses run by immigrants. Minority status had no impact on connections with immigrants.


Table 1: Respondents' connections with immigrants

Connection	Percent of Respondents
Parents are immigrants	4.8%
Know any immigrants	69.1%
Friends with any immigrants	71.0%
Visit businesses run by immigrants	76.8%

Respondents were asked if they felt immigrants tended to cluster together and if they felt that was a good thing or not. Nine out of ten people thought that immigrants tended to cluster together. However, most people felt this clustering was either a good thing or did not matter. Figure 6 shows what respondents thought about the clustering of immigrants.

Figure 6: Respondents' feelings on the clustering of immigrants

Is it a good thing if immigrants live close to one another?



Attitudes toward Immigrants

One of the goals of the Welcome Dayton Initiative is to help immigrants to settle and develop roots in the community. To determine how comfortable people were with immigrants, the survey used the Bogardus social distance scale. This scale was designed to measure individuals' comfort levels with members of diverse social groups. Respondents in this survey were asked if they would encourage an immigrant to move to areas of increasing proximity to the respondent.


The majority of people said they would encourage immigrants to settle next door to them, suggesting they are very comfortable with immigrants. Table 2 shows the percentage of all respondents who would encourage immigrants to settle in areas near them.

Table 2. Respondents’ comfort at each level of the Bogardus scale used

Area	Percent people who would encourage an immigrant to move there
Dayton	76.2%
Their Neighborhood	75.3%
Their block	75.4%
Next door to them	73.2%

In an effort to determine if some groups were more comfortable with immigrants than others, subsamples were compared. Minorities were more comfortable than Caucasians were with immigrants living near them and generally became more encouraging with closer proximity. Caucasians’ comfort decreased with the prospect of immigrants living closer to them. Figure 7 shows the comfort level of different races with immigrants moving closer to them.


Figure 7: Racial groups and the Bogardus scale



Comparing age groups, older individuals were more likely to encourage immigrants to settle near them. Respondents’ comfort with having immigrants living nearby increased with age. As with the Caucasian sample in Figure 7, comfort decreased for all three age


groups with the prospect of immigrants living closer to them however, the difference was less than 5% in total. Figure 8 shows the percentage of respondents in each age group who would encourage an immigrant to settle near them.

Figure 8: Age groups and the Bogardus scale




Respondents with college degrees were also more likely to encourage immigrants to move near them. This high comfort level with immigrants changed little regardless of immigrant proximity. Respondents without a college degree were still likely to encourage an immigrant to move near them. Figure 9 shows how comfortable people with different education levels are with immigrants living near them.

Figure 9: Educational levels and the Bogardus scale




Dayton is attracting immigrants from all over the world. In an effort to determine if there is a bias against immigrants from a particular region, respondents were asked how they felt about immigrants from five major geographic regions. Figure 10 shows how comfortable respondents were with immigrants from each region. People were most comfortable with immigrants from Europe and Asia and least comfortable with those from Mexico, Central America, and South America and those from the Middle East.

Figure 10: Respondents' comfort level with immigrants from different regions



There was little difference between groups separated by age or education. There was a statistically significant difference seen between Caucasians and minority groups, however. Figure 11 shows how respondents in different racial groups feel about immigrants from different regions moving into their neighborhood. Minorities were more comfortable than Caucasians with immigrants from all regions moving into their neighborhoods, according to a chi-square test of respondents' answers.

Figure 11: Different races' comfort levels with immigrants from different regions




As a follow-up question, respondents were asked how they felt about immigrants becoming a majority in their neighborhood. Table 3 shows the percent of respondents at each comfort level. The majority of people were comfortable or very comfortable with this idea. When comparing groups, older people, those with college degrees, and minorities were more comfortable than their comparative groups with immigrants being a majority in their neighborhoods. Figure 12 provides the comparison between racial groups.

Table 3: All respondents answers to an immigrant majority in their neighborhood

Comfort Level	Percent of Respondents
Very Comfortable	13.4%
Comfortable	44.7%
Uncomfortable	24.2%
Very Uncomfortable	17.7%


Figure 12: Comfort level of different races with immigrants becoming a majority in their neighborhood



Perceptions of Immigrants


Respondents were asked a series of questions about how they perceive immigrants. Four out of ten respondents (43.2%) agreed with all four positive statements. Figure 13 displays the respondents' answers to all six statements.

Figure 13: Respondents agreement with six statements about immigrants.




Minorities, older individuals, and those with college degrees tend to think more positively about immigrants. Figure 14 shows different racial groups perceptions of immigrants. Minorities are slightly more likely than Caucasians to feel that immigrants do not improve a community and that they are responsible for more crime. Younger people are more likely to think immigrants try to integrate into American culture.

Figure 14: How different racial groups perceive immigrants




By comparing this data to the Bogardus scale for comfortableness with immigrants, a significant relationship was found between those who were comfortable with immigrants and those who felt immigrants had an effect on jobs. People who strongly agreed that immigrants took jobs away from people in Dayton were less likely to encourage immigrants to settle in Dayton or any area near them. Caucasian respondents were most likely to feel this way. Minority females from tracts with both high and low concentrations of immigrants were least likely to feel that immigrants took jobs away from people in Dayton and were the most comfortable with immigrants. Minority males from high concentration tracts tended to feel that immigrants took away jobs but were still comfortable with immigrants moving near them. Figure 15 displays how subgroups of respondents perceived immigrants' effect on jobs compared to their comfort level.

Figure 15: Bogardus scale versus immigrants' effect on jobs




This relationship between comfort level and perceived effect on jobs is significant between age groups. Figure 16 shows how comfort level with immigrants and belief that immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton differ with different age groups.

Figure 16: Bogardus scale versus immigrants' effect on jobs by age subgroups



All six perception questions were used to generate a perception score. A significant relationship was found between this perception score and the Bogardus scale measuring how comfortable respondents were with immigrants living nearby. Generally, the higher the perception of immigrants was, the more comfortable people were with immigrants moving near them. Figure 17 displays the where each age subgroup fell on these respective scales. Subgroups with a lower perception and lower comfort level with immigrants are in the bottom left of the graph while those with a higher perception and comfort level are in the top right.

Figure 17: Bogardus scale versus perception score for all age subgroups



As a follow-up to this series of questions, respondents were asked if they felt immigrants were welcome or discriminated against in Dayton. Pooling all the respondents, 73.7% believed immigrants felt welcome in Dayton. Older individuals and Caucasians were more likely to feel that immigrants were welcome than younger people or minorities. However, 62.6% of respondents felt that immigrants were discriminated against in Dayton. Those respondents without college degrees were more likely to feel this way.

Perceptions of Welcome Dayton and HRC

Respondents were first asked if they had heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative or the Human Relations Council. If they had heard of a program, they were asked a follow-up question about their perception of the program. Only 33.2% of respondents had heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative. Figure 18 displays respondents' perceptions of the Welcome Dayton Initiative.

Figure 18: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative (WDI)





Figure 19 displays the percentage in each subgroup that expressed a positive perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative. People from low concentrations of immigrants, Caucasians, older individuals, and those with college degrees had the highest percentage of positive perception.

Figure 19: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of WDI in each sample group



Nearly the same percentage of respondents had heard of the Human Relations Council (HRC). However, only 21% of respondents had heard of both WDI and HRC. The perception of HRC was more positive than that for WDI. Compared to the survey done in 2012, the number of people who have heard of the HRC went from 12.2% to 33.7%. Figure 20 displays respondents' perceptions of HRC.

Figure 20: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of the Human Relations Council (HRC)





Figure 21 displays the percentage in each subgroup that expressed a positive perception of the Human Relations Council. Younger individuals had a significantly more positive perception of HRC however, there were no significant differences between any other subgroups. All groups generally had a positive perception of HRC.

Figure 21: Percent of respondents with a positive perception of HRC in each sample group



Data Summary

One of the primary objectives of the Welcome Dayton Initiative was to improve the social environment for immigrants. The majority of respondents had positive attitudes toward and perceptions of immigrants. Minorities had more positive views toward immigrants, in general, than did Caucasians. Older individuals, those with college degrees, and those who lived in areas of higher immigrant concentration were also more likely to have positive views of immigrants than their respective counterparts.

Compared to national trends, community views in Dayton are generally more positive toward immigrants. However, respondents indicated a strong concern about immigrants taking jobs from native-born citizens.

Table 4: Comparison of perceptions of immigrants in Dayton and nationally

Poll Trends Question/Statement	Percent Who Agreed	CUPA Survey Statement	Percent Who Agreed
Is immigration good or bad for this country today? (Gallup 2013)	72%	Immigrants make our community a better place to live	67.0%
Immigrants increase crime rates. (GSS 2004)	25%	Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	14.3%
Immigrants make the crime situation worse. (Gallup 2007)	58%		
Immigrants are generally good for America's economy. (GSS 2004)	46%	Immigrants are beneficial to the economy.	63.4%
Immigrants make the economy in general better. (Gallup 2007)	28%		
Immigrants take jobs away from people who were born in America. (GSS 2004)	43%	Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton.	44.1%
Immigrants are making the job situation worse for you and your family. (Gallup 2007)	34%		

To further improve immigrant-community relations, efforts should be made to encourage more interactions between immigrants and natural citizens. Nearly every measure of attitude toward and perception of immigrants was significantly higher for individuals who had a direct connection to an immigrant, as parent, friend, or simply acquaintance.

Younger people were the least comfortable with having immigrants live near them and consistently had the more negative perceptions of immigrants. As these individuals will hopefully have a long future in the community, it is important to change their perceptions of immigrants. It may be potentially most useful to use the HRC to begin accomplishing this goal. Younger people have a very positive perception of the HRC, so a push from this organization for the acceptance and welcoming of immigrants may have more impact.

Appendix A – Survey Instrument

S1. Are you a resident within the City of Dayton?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [Terminate Survey]
- 3) Don't know [Terminate Survey]
- 4) Refuse [Terminate Survey]

S2. Are you at least 18 years of age?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [Terminate Survey]
- 3) Don't know [Terminate Survey]
- 4) Refuse [Terminate Survey]

1. What is your age?

[Enter number or give the following breakdown if respondent is hesitant to answer]

- 1) 18-34
- 2) 35-54
- 3) Over 55

2. What is your gender?

- 1) Male
- 2) Female

S3. Were you born in the United States, in a US territory, or on a US military installation?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [Terminate Survey]
- 3) Don't know [Terminate Survey]
- 4) Refuse [Terminate Survey]

3. Are either of your parents an immigrant [INCLUDE DEFINITION OF IMMIGRANT]

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Not sure/don't know
- 4) Refuse

4. Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [SKIP 5]
- 5) Don't know [SKIP 5]
- 3) Refuse [SKIP 5]

5. You said immigrants intentionally live close to one another. Is this a good thing or bad thing or doesn't it make much difference?

- 1) A good thing
- 2) A bad thing
- 3) Doesn't make much difference
- 4) Don't know
- 5) Refused

QUESTIONS 6 – 9 WILL BE RANDOMIZED

6. Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refuse

7. Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refuse

8. Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refuse

9. Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refuse

QUESTIONS 10 – 14 WILL BE RANDOMIZED

10. If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refuse

11. If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refuse

12. If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refused

13. If immigrants from Mexico, Central or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refused

14. If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refused

END RANDOMIZATION

15. If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?

- 1) Very comfortable
- 2) Comfortable
- 3) Uncomfortable
- 4) Very uncomfortable
- 5) Not sure/don't know
- 6) Refuse

QUESTIONS 16 – 21 WILL BE RANDOMIZED

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

16. Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly Disagree
- 5) Don't Know / No Opinion
- 6) Refused

17. Immigrants work hard to learn English.

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly Disagree
- 5) Don't Know / No Opinion
- 6) Refused

18. Immigrants are beneficial to the economy.

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly disagree
- 5) Don't know/ no opinion
- 6) Refused

19. Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton.

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly disagree
- 5) Don't know/ no opinion
- 6) Refused

20. Immigrants make our community a better place to live.

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly disagree
- 5) Don't know/ no opinion
- 6) Refused

21. Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed.

- 1) Strongly Agree
- 2) Agree
- 3) Disagree
- 4) Strongly disagree
- 5) Don't know/ no opinion
- 6) Refused

END RANDOMIZATION

22. Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refused

23. Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't know
- 4) Refused

24. Do you know any immigrants?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't Know
- 4) Refused

25. Do you have friends who are immigrants?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Don't Know
- 4) Refused

26. Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) Not sure/don't know
- 4) Refused

27. Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [SKIP 28]
- 3) Not sure/don't know [SKIP 28]
- 4) Refuse [SKIP 28]

28. What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?

- 1) Positive
- 2) Negative
- 3) Don't know / No opinion
- 4) Refuse

29. Have you heard of the Human Relations Council, also known as the HRC?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No [SKIP 30]
- 3) Not sure/don't know [SKIP 30]
- 4) Refuse [SKIP 30]

30. What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?

- 1) Positive
- 2) Negative
- 3) Don't know / No opinion
- 4) Refuse

31. Which category best describes your racial background?

- 1) White / Caucasian
- 2) Black / African American
- 3) American Indian or Alaska Native
- 4) Asian
- 5) Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander
- 6) Two or more races
- 7) Other

32. How much education have you completed?

- 1) Did not complete high school
- 2) High school graduate or GED equivalent
- 3) Some college or technical school
- 4) Associate's degree
- 5) Bachelor's degree
- 6) Post-graduate education and/or degree
- 7) Don't know
- 8) Refused

Appendix B - Frequency Tables

Are you a resident within the City of Dayton?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	773	100.0	100.0	100.0

Which category best describes your racial background?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid White/Caucasian	473	61.2	61.2	61.2
Black/African American	218	28.3	28.3	89.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	1.1	1.1	90.5
Asian	2	.3	.3	90.8
Two or more races	68	8.8	8.8	99.6
Other	3	.4	.4	100.0
Total	773	100.0	100.0	

Are you at least 18 years of age?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	773	100.0	100.0	100.0

Were you born in the United States, in a US territory, or on a US military installation?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	773	100.0	100.0	100.0

Are either of your parents an immigrant?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	37	4.8	4.8	4.8
	No	733	94.9	95.2	100.0
	Total	770	99.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	3	.4		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	638	82.6	88.0	88.0
	No	87	11.2	12.0	100.0
	Total	725	93.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	48	6.2		
Total		773	100.0		

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A good thing	184	23.8	29.3	29.3
	A bad thing	70	9.1	11.1	40.5
	Doesn't make much difference	374	48.4	59.5	100.0
	Total	628	81.3	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	8	1.1		
	Refused	2	.3		
	System	135	17.4		
Total		145	18.7		
Total		773	100.0		

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	568	73.4	76.2	76.2
	No	177	22.9	23.8	100.0
	Total	745	96.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	20	2.6		
	Refused	8	1.1		
Total		773	100.0		

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	559	72.3	75.3	75.3
	No	183	23.7	24.7	100.0
	Total	742	96.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	25	3.2		
	Refused	6	.7		
Total		773	100.0		

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	563	72.8	75.4	75.4
	No	183	23.7	24.6	100.0
	Total	746	96.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	20	2.5		
	Refused	7	.9		
Total		773	100.0		

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	546	70.7	73.2	73.2
	No	200	25.9	26.8	100.0
	Total	747	96.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	18	2.4		
	Refused	8	1.0		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	188	24.3	25.0	25.0
	Comfortable	467	60.5	62.1	87.2
	Uncomfortable	85	11.0	11.3	98.4
	Very uncomfortable	12	1.5	1.6	100.0
Total		752	97.3	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	14	1.8		
	Refused	7	.9		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	182	23.6	24.1	24.1
	Comfortable	468	60.6	61.8	85.9
	Uncomfortable	89	11.5	11.7	97.6
	Very uncomfortable	18	2.3	2.4	100.0
Total		757	97.9	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	11	1.5		
	Refused	5	.6		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	135	17.5	18.1	18.1
	Comfortable	395	51.1	52.9	71.0
	Uncomfortable	142	18.4	19.0	90.0
	Very uncomfortable	75	9.7	10.0	100.0
	Total	747	96.6	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	18	2.3		
	Refused	8	1.0		
Total		26	3.4		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	165	21.4	21.8	21.8
	Comfortable	447	57.8	59.0	80.8
	Uncomfortable	124	16.0	16.3	97.1
	Very uncomfortable	22	2.8	2.9	100.0
	Total	757	97.9	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	11	1.4		
	Refused	5	.6		
Total		16	2.1		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	197	25.4	26.1	26.1
	Comfortable	435	56.3	57.8	83.9
	Uncomfortable	109	14.1	14.5	98.3
	Very uncomfortable	12	1.6	1.7	100.0
	Total	753	97.4	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	13	1.7		
	Refused	7	.8		
Total		20	2.6		
Total		773	100.0		

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very comfortable	100	12.9	13.4	13.4
	Comfortable	331	42.9	44.7	58.2
	Uncomfortable	179	23.2	24.2	82.3
	Very uncomfortable	131	16.9	17.7	100.0
	Total	741	95.9	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	28	3.7		
	Refused	4	.5		
Total		32	4.1		
Total		773	100.0		

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	82	10.6	11.4	11.4
	Agree	428	55.3	59.8	71.3
	Disagree	159	20.5	22.2	93.5
	Strongly disagree	47	6.0	6.5	100.0
	Total	715	92.5	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	51	6.6		
	Refused	7	.9		
Total		58	7.5		
Total		773	100.0		

Immigrants work hard to learn English

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	80	10.4	11.3	11.3
	Agree	360	46.6	50.6	61.9
	Disagree	203	26.2	28.5	90.4
	Strongly disagree	69	8.9	9.6	100.0
	Total	712	92.1	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	51	6.7		
	Refused	10	1.3		
Total		61	7.9		
Total		773	100.0		

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	114	14.8	15.6	15.6
	Agree	351	45.5	47.8	63.4
	Disagree	210	27.2	28.6	92.0
	Strongly disagree	59	7.6	8.0	100.0
	Total	735	95.1	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	31	3.9		
	Refused	7	1.0		
	Total	38	4.9		
Total		773	100.0		

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	110	14.3	14.8	14.8
	Agree	218	28.2	29.3	44.2
	Disagree	339	43.9	45.6	89.8
	Strongly disagree	76	9.8	10.2	100.0
	Total	743	96.2	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	23	2.9		
	Refused	7	.9		
	Total	30	3.8		
Total		773	100.0		

Immigrants make our community a better place to live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	99	12.8	14.5	14.5
	Agree	358	46.3	52.5	67.0
	Disagree	169	21.8	24.8	91.8
	Strongly disagree	56	7.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	682	88.2	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	63	8.2		
	Refused	28	3.6		
	Total	91	11.8		
Total		773	100.0		

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly agree	24	3.1	3.3	3.3
	Agree	81	10.5	11.0	14.3
	Disagree	497	64.3	67.1	81.3
	Strongly disagree	138	17.9	18.7	100.0
	Total	741	95.8	100.0	
Missing	Not sure/don't know	26	3.3		
	Refused	7	.8		
Total		32	4.2		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	498	64.4	73.6	73.6
	No	179	23.1	26.4	100.0
	Total	677	87.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	86	11.2		
	Refused	10	1.3		
Total		96	12.5		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	433	56.1	62.6	62.6
	No	259	33.5	37.4	100.0
	Total	692	89.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	70	9.1		
	Refused	10	1.3		
Total		81	10.4		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you know any immigrants?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	532	68.9	69.1	69.1
	No	239	30.9	30.9	100.0
	Total	771	99.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	2	.2		
	Refused	0	.0		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you have friends who are immigrants?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	382	49.4	71.0	71.0
	No	156	20.2	29.0	100.0
	Total	538	69.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	1	.2		
	Refused	1	.1		
System Total		233	30.2		
Total		773	100.0		

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	543	70.2	76.8	76.8
	No	164	21.2	23.2	100.0
	Total	706	91.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	65	8.4		
	Refused	2	.2		
Total		773	100.0		

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	255	33.0	33.2	33.2
	No	513	66.4	66.8	100.0
	Total	768	99.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	4	.5		
	Refused	1	.1		
Total		773	100.0		

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Positive	199	25.7	86.6	86.6
	Negative	31	4.0	13.4	100.0
	Total	229	29.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't know/no opinion	22	2.8		
	Refused	4	.5		
Total		544	70.3		
Total		773	100.0		

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	260	33.6	33.7	33.7
	No	510	66.0	66.3	100.0
	Total	770	99.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	3	.4		
	Refused	0	.0		
Total		3	.4		
Total		773	100.0		

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Positive	167	21.6	90.4	90.4
	Negative	18	2.3	9.6	100.0
	Total	185	23.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know/no opinion	72	9.3		
	Refused	3	.3		
	System	513	66.4		
Total		773	100.0		

How much education have you completed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Did not complete high school	28	3.7	3.7	3.7
	High school graduate or GED equivalent	203	26.3	26.3	30.0
	Some college or technical school	231	29.9	29.9	60.0
	Associate's degree	69	8.9	8.9	68.9
	Bachelor's degree	121	15.6	15.7	84.6
	Post-graduate education and/or degree	119	15.4	15.4	100.0
	Total	771	99.8	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	1	.1		
	Refused	1	.2		
Total		773	100.0		

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-34 years of age	312	40.3	40.3	40.3
	35-54 years of age	242	31.3	31.3	71.6
	55 years of age or older	220	28.4	28.4	100.0
	Total	773	100.0	100.0	

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Caucasian or white	473	61.2	61.2	61.2
	All minorities	300	38.8	38.8	100.0
	Total	773	100.0	100.0	

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	366	47.3	47.3	47.3
	Female	407	52.7	52.7	100.0
	Total	773	100.0	100.0	

Resp Database

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	385	49.8	49.8	49.8
	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	388	50.2	50.2	100.0
	Total	773	100.0	100.0	

Appendix C - Crosstabs

Are either of your parents an immigrant? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Are either of your parents an immigrant?	Yes	Count	10 _a	27 _b	37
		Expected Count	18.5	18.5	37.0
		% within Resp Database	2.6%	7.0%	4.8%
	No	Count	375 _a	358 _b	733
		Expected Count	366.5	366.5	733.0
		% within Resp Database	97.4%	93.0%	95.2%
Total	Count	385	385	770	
	Expected Count	385.0	385.0	770.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.205 ^a	1	.004		
Continuity Correction ^b	7.268	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	8.506	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.194	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.50.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Yes	Count	309 ^a	330 ^b	639
		Expected Count	318.6	320.4	639.0
		% within Resp Database	85.4%	90.7%	88.0%
	No	Count	53 ^a	34 ^b	87
		Expected Count	43.4	43.6	87.0
		% within Resp Database	14.6%	9.3%	12.0%
Total	Count	362	364	726	
	Expected Count	362.0	364.0	726.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.834 ^a	1	.028	.030	.018
Continuity Correction ^b	4.345	1	.037		
Likelihood Ratio	4.868	1	.027		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.827	1	.028		
N of Valid Cases	726				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 43.38.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	A good thing	Count	85 ^a	100 ^a	185
		Expected Count	90.3	94.7	185.0
		% within Resp Database	27.7%	31.1%	29.4%
	A bad thing	Count	51 ^a	19 ^b	70
		Expected Count	34.2	35.8	70.0
		% within Resp Database	16.6%	5.9%	11.1%
	Doesn't make much difference	Count	171 ^a	203 ^a	374
		Expected Count	182.5	191.5	374.0
		% within Resp Database	55.7%	63.0%	59.5%
Total	Count	307	322	629	
	Expected Count	307.0	322.0	629.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.235 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.787	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.310	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	629		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.17.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count	281 ^a	286 ^a	567
		Expected Count	285.4	281.6	567.0
		% within Resp Database	74.9%	77.3%	76.1%
	No	Count	94 ^a	84 ^a	178
		Expected Count	89.6	88.4	178.0
		% within Resp Database	25.1%	22.7%	23.9%
Total	Count	375	370	745	
	Expected Count	375.0	370.0	745.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.572 ^a	1	.449		
Continuity Correction ^b	.450	1	.502		
Likelihood Ratio	.573	1	.449		
Fisher's Exact Test				.492	.251
Linear-by-Linear Association	.572	1	.450		
N of Valid Cases	745				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 88.40.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count	279 ^a	280 ^a	559
		Expected Count	284.0	275.0	559.0
		% within Resp Database	74.0%	76.7%	75.3%
	No	Count	98 ^a	85 ^a	183
		Expected Count	93.0	90.0	183.0
		% within Resp Database	26.0%	23.3%	24.7%
Total	Count	377	365	742	
	Expected Count	377.0	365.0	742.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.731 ^a	1	.392		
Continuity Correction ^b	.593	1	.441		
Likelihood Ratio	.732	1	.392		
Fisher's Exact Test				.396	.221
Linear-by-Linear Association	.730	1	.393		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 90.02.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Count	274 ^a	289 ^a	563
	Expected Count	283.8	279.2	563.0
	Yes			
	% within Resp Database	72.9%	78.1%	75.5%
	Count	102 ^a	81 ^a	183
	Expected Count	92.2	90.8	183.0
No	% within Resp Database	27.1%	21.9%	24.5%
	Count	376	370	746
Total	Expected Count	376.0	370.0	746.0
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.761 ^a	1	.097		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.486	1	.115		
Likelihood Ratio	2.767	1	.096		
Fisher's Exact Test				.106	.057
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.758	1	.097		
N of Valid Cases	746				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 90.76.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count	266 ^a	280 ^a	546
		Expected Count	271.9	274.1	546.0
		% within Resp Database	71.5%	74.7%	73.1%
	No	Count	106 ^a	95 ^a	201
		Expected Count	100.1	100.9	201.0
		% within Resp Database	28.5%	25.3%	26.9%
Total	Count	372	375	747	
	Expected Count	372.0	375.0	747.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.949 ^a	1	.330		
Continuity Correction ^b	.795	1	.373		
Likelihood Ratio	.949	1	.330		
Fisher's Exact Test				.364	.186
Linear-by-Linear Association	.948	1	.330		
N of Valid Cases	747				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 100.10.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	112 _a	76 _b	188
		Expected Count	94.9	93.1	188.0
		% within Resp Database	29.5%	20.4%	25.0%
	Comfortable	Count	222 _a	246 _b	468
		Expected Count	236.2	231.8	468.0
		% within Resp Database	58.4%	66.0%	62.2%
	Uncomfortable	Count	43 _a	42 _a	85
		Expected Count	42.9	42.1	85.0
		% within Resp Database	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	3 _a	9 _a	12
		Expected Count	6.1	5.9	12.0
		% within Resp Database	0.8%	2.4%	1.6%
Total	Count	380	373	753	
	Expected Count	380.0	373.0	753.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.072 ^a	3	.011
Likelihood Ratio	11.254	3	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.836	1	.009
N of Valid Cases	753		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.94.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	109 _a	73 _b	182
		Expected Count	91.4	90.6	182.0
		% within Resp Database	28.7%	19.4%	24.0%
	Comfortable	Count	216 _a	252 _b	468
		Expected Count	234.9	233.1	468.0
		% within Resp Database	56.8%	66.8%	61.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	53 _a	36 _a	89
		Expected Count	44.7	44.3	89.0
		% within Resp Database	13.9%	9.5%	11.8%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	2 _a	16 _b	18
		Expected Count	9.0	9.0	18.0
		% within Resp Database	0.5%	4.2%	2.4%
Total	Count	380	377	757	
	Expected Count	380.0	377.0	757.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.015 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.591	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.451	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	757		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.96.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?
 * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	79 ^a	56 ^b	135
		Expected Count	67.6	67.4	135.0
		% within Resp Database	21.1%	15.0%	18.1%
	Comfortable	Count	185 ^a	209 ^a	394
		Expected Count	197.3	196.7	394.0
		% within Resp Database	49.5%	56.0%	52.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	70 ^a	73 ^a	143
		Expected Count	71.6	71.4	143.0
		% within Resp Database	18.7%	19.6%	19.1%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	40 ^a	35 ^a	75
		Expected Count	37.6	37.4	75.0
		% within Resp Database	10.7%	9.4%	10.0%
Total	Count	374	373	747	
	Expected Count	374.0	373.0	747.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.775 ^a	3	.123
Likelihood Ratio	5.796	3	.122
Linear-by-Linear Association	.482	1	.488
N of Valid Cases	747		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 37.45.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	103 ^a	62 ^b	165
		Expected Count	82.9	82.1	165.0
		% within Resp Database	27.0%	16.4%	21.8%
	Comfortable	Count	207 ^a	240 ^b	447
		Expected Count	224.7	222.3	447.0
		% within Resp Database	54.3%	63.7%	59.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	64 ^a	60 ^a	124
		Expected Count	62.3	61.7	124.0
		% within Resp Database	16.8%	15.9%	16.4%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	7 ^a	15 ^a	22
		Expected Count	11.1	10.9	22.0
		% within Resp Database	1.8%	4.0%	2.9%
Total	Count	381	377	758	
	Expected Count	381.0	377.0	758.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.642 ^a	3	.001
Likelihood Ratio	15.819	3	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.446	1	.006

N of Valid Cases	758		
------------------	-----	--	--

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.94.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	108 ^a	88 ^a	196
		Expected Count	98.5	97.5	196.0
		% within Resp Database	28.5%	23.5%	26.0%
	Comfortable	Count	202 ^a	234 ^b	436
		Expected Count	219.2	216.8	436.0
		% within Resp Database	53.3%	62.4%	57.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	64 ^a	45 ^a	109
		Expected Count	54.8	54.2	109.0
		% within Resp Database	16.9%	12.0%	14.5%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	5 ^a	8 ^a	13
		Expected Count	6.5	6.5	13.0
		% within Resp Database	1.3%	2.1%	1.7%
Total	Count	379	375	754	
	Expected Count	379.0	375.0	754.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.373 ^a	3	.039
Likelihood Ratio	8.401	3	.038
Linear-by-Linear Association	.126	1	.722

N of Valid Cases	754		
------------------	-----	--	--

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	57 ^a	43 ^a	100
		Expected Count	50.4	49.6	100.0
		% within Resp Database	15.2%	11.7%	13.5%
	Comfortable	Count	162 ^a	170 ^a	332
		Expected Count	167.3	164.7	332.0
		% within Resp Database	43.3%	46.2%	44.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	88 ^a	91 ^a	179
		Expected Count	90.2	88.8	179.0
		% within Resp Database	23.5%	24.7%	24.1%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	67 ^a	64 ^a	131
		Expected Count	66.0	65.0	131.0
		% within Resp Database	17.9%	17.4%	17.7%
Total	Count	374	368	742	
	Expected Count	374.0	368.0	742.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.223 ^a	3	.527
Likelihood Ratio	2.230	3	.526
Linear-by-Linear Association	.292	1	.589

N of Valid Cases	742		
------------------	-----	--	--

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 49.60.

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: * Resp Database

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	46 ^a	36 ^a	82
		Expected Count	40.8	41.2	82.0
		% within Resp Database	12.9%	10.0%	11.5%
	Agree	Count	217 ^a	211 ^a	428
		Expected Count	212.8	215.2	428.0
		% within Resp Database	61.0%	58.6%	59.8%
	Disagree	Count	74 ^a	85 ^a	159
		Expected Count	79.1	79.9	159.0
		% within Resp Database	20.8%	23.6%	22.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	19 ^a	28 ^a	47
		Expected Count	23.4	23.6	47.0
		% within Resp Database	5.3%	7.8%	6.6%
Total	Count	356	360	716	
	Expected Count	356.0	360.0	716.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.766 ^a	3	.288
Likelihood Ratio	3.780	3	.286

Linear-by-Linear Association	3.724	1	.054
N of Valid Cases	716		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.37.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	44 ^a	37 ^a	81
		Expected Count	41.0	40.0	81.0
		% within Resp Database	12.2%	10.5%	11.4%
	Agree	Count	172 ^a	188 ^a	360
		Expected Count	182.3	177.7	360.0
		% within Resp Database	47.6%	53.4%	50.5%
	Disagree	Count	111 ^a	92 ^a	203
		Expected Count	102.8	100.2	203.0
		% within Resp Database	30.7%	26.1%	28.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	34 ^a	35 ^a	69
		Expected Count	34.9	34.1	69.0
		% within Resp Database	9.4%	9.9%	9.7%
Total	Count	361	352	713	
	Expected Count	361.0	352.0	713.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.996 ^a	3	.392
Likelihood Ratio	2.999	3	.392

Linear-by-Linear Association	.097	1	.756
N of Valid Cases	713		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.06.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	46 ^a	68 ^b	114
		Expected Count	57.0	57.0	114.0
		% within Resp Database	12.5%	18.5%	15.5%
	Agree	Count	171 ^a	180 ^a	351
		Expected Count	175.5	175.5	351.0
		% within Resp Database	46.6%	49.0%	47.8%
	Disagree	Count	122 ^a	88 ^b	210
		Expected Count	105.0	105.0	210.0
		% within Resp Database	33.2%	24.0%	28.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	28 ^a	31 ^a	59
		Expected Count	29.5	29.5	59.0
		% within Resp Database	7.6%	8.4%	8.0%
Total	Count	367	367	734	
	Expected Count	367.0	367.0	734.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.134 ^a	3	.017

Likelihood Ratio	10.185	3	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.017	1	.025
N of Valid Cases	734		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.50.

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton	Strongly agree	Count	58 ^a	53 ^a	111
		Expected Count	55.6	55.4	111.0
		% within Resp Database	15.5%	14.3%	14.9%
	Agree	Count	120 ^a	98 ^a	218
		Expected Count	109.3	108.7	218.0
		% within Resp Database	32.2%	26.4%	29.3%
	Disagree	Count	156 ^a	183 ^b	339
		Expected Count	170.0	169.0	339.0
		% within Resp Database	41.8%	49.3%	45.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	39 ^a	37 ^a	76
		Expected Count	38.1	37.9	76.0
		% within Resp Database	10.5%	10.0%	10.2%
Total	Count	373	371	744	
	Expected Count	373.0	371.0	744.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	4.643 ^a	3	.200
Likelihood Ratio	4.649	3	.199
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.502	1	.220
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 37.90.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	58 ^a	42 ^a	100
		Expected Count	51.0	49.0	100.0
		% within Resp Database	16.7%	12.6%	14.7%
	Agree	Count	185 ^a	172 ^a	357
		Expected Count	182.2	174.8	357.0
		% within Resp Database	53.2%	51.5%	52.3%
	Disagree	Count	76 ^a	93 ^a	169
		Expected Count	86.2	82.8	169.0
		% within Resp Database	21.8%	27.8%	24.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	29 ^a	27 ^a	56
		Expected Count	28.6	27.4	56.0
		% within Resp Database	8.3%	8.1%	8.2%
Total	Count	348	334	682	
	Expected Count	348.0	334.0	682.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	3	.210
Likelihood Ratio	4.542	3	.209
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.403	1	.121
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.43.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	16 ^a	8 ^a	24
		Expected Count	12.1	11.9	24.0
		% within Resp Database	4.3%	2.2%	3.2%
	Agree	Count	31 ^a	51 ^b	82
		Expected Count	41.4	40.6	82.0
		% within Resp Database	8.3%	13.9%	11.1%
	Disagree	Count	281 ^a	216 ^b	497
		Expected Count	251.2	245.8	497.0
		% within Resp Database	74.9%	58.9%	67.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	47 ^a	92 ^b	139
		Expected Count	70.2	68.8	139.0
		% within Resp Database	12.5%	25.1%	18.7%
Total	Count	375	367	742	
	Expected Count	375.0	367.0	742.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.531 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	30.919	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.323	1	.021
N of Valid Cases	742		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.87.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count	267 ^a	231 ^a	498
		Expected Count	258.6	239.4	498.0
		% within Resp Database	76.1%	71.1%	73.7%
	No	Count	84 ^a	94 ^a	178
		Expected Count	92.4	85.6	178.0
		% within Resp Database	23.9%	28.9%	26.3%
Total	Count	351	325	676	
	Expected Count	351.0	325.0	676.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.167 ^a	1	.141		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.918	1	.166		
Likelihood Ratio	2.167	1	.141		
Fisher's Exact Test				.162	.083
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.164	1	.141		
N of Valid Cases	676				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 85.58.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	212 _a	221 _a	433
		Expected Count	222.8	210.2	433.0
		% within Resp Database	59.6%	65.8%	62.6%
	No	Count	144 _a	115 _a	259
		Expected Count	133.2	125.8	259.0
		% within Resp Database	40.4%	34.2%	37.4%
Total	Count	356	336	692	
	Expected Count	356.0	336.0	692.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.859 ^a	1	.091		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.599	1	.107		
Likelihood Ratio	2.863	1	.091		
Fisher's Exact Test				.099	.053
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.854	1	.091		
N of Valid Cases	692				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 125.76.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you know any immigrants? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Do you know any immigrants?	Yes	Count	277 _a	255 _a	532
		Expected Count	265.7	266.3	532.0
		% within Resp Database	71.9%	66.1%	69.0%
	No	Count	108 _a	131 _a	239
		Expected Count	119.3	119.7	239.0
		% within Resp Database	28.1%	33.9%	31.0%
Total	Count	385	386	771	
	Expected Count	385.0	386.0	771.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.122 ^a	1	.077		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.853	1	.091		
Likelihood Ratio	3.126	1	.077		
Fisher's Exact Test				.087	.046
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.118	1	.077		
N of Valid Cases	771				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 119.35.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you have friends who are immigrants? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Do you have friends who are immigrants?	Yes	Count	210 ^a	173 ^b	383
		Expected Count	196.1	186.9	383.0
		% within Resp Database	76.1%	65.8%	71.1%
	No	Count	66 ^a	90 ^b	156
		Expected Count	79.9	76.1	156.0
		% within Resp Database	23.9%	34.2%	28.9%
Total	Count	276	263	539	
	Expected Count	276.0	263.0	539.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.957 ^a	1	.008		
Continuity Correction ^b	6.465	1	.011		
Likelihood Ratio	6.973	1	.008		
Fisher's Exact Test				.010	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.944	1	.008		
N of Valid Cases	539				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 76.12.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?	Yes	Count	263 ^a	279 ^a	542
		Expected Count	256.4	285.6	542.0
		% within Resp Database	78.7%	75.0%	76.8%
	No	Count	71 ^a	93 ^a	164
		Expected Count	77.6	86.4	164.0
		% within Resp Database	21.3%	25.0%	23.2%
Total	Count	334	372	706	
	Expected Count	334.0	372.0	706.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.382 ^a	1	.240	.247	.139
Continuity Correction ^b	1.180	1	.277		
Likelihood Ratio	1.386	1	.239		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240		
N of Valid Cases	706				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 77.59.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count	140 ^a	115 ^b	255
		Expected Count	127.2	127.8	255.0
		% within Resp Database	36.6%	29.9%	33.2%
	No	Count	243 ^a	270 ^b	513
		Expected Count	255.8	257.2	513.0
		% within Resp Database	63.4%	70.1%	66.8%
Total	Count	383	385	768	
	Expected Count	383.0	385.0	768.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.867 ^a	1	.049		
Continuity Correction ^b	3.571	1	.059		
Likelihood Ratio	3.871	1	.049		
Fisher's Exact Test				.055	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.862	1	.049		
N of Valid Cases	768				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 127.17.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	107 ^a	92 ^a	199
		Expected Count	110.7	88.3	199.0
		% within Resp Database	83.6%	90.2%	86.5%
	Negative	Count	21 ^a	10 ^a	31
		Expected Count	17.3	13.7	31.0
		% within Resp Database	16.4%	9.8%	13.5%
Total	Count	128	102	230	
	Expected Count	128.0	102.0	230.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.122 ^a	1	.145	.175	.103
Continuity Correction ^b	1.593	1	.207		
Likelihood Ratio	2.176	1	.140		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.113	1	.146		
N of Valid Cases	230				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.75.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count	99 ^a	161 ^b	260
		Expected Count	129.3	130.7	260.0
		% within Resp Database	25.8%	41.6%	33.8%
	No	Count	284 ^a	226 ^b	510
		Expected Count	253.7	256.3	510.0
		% within Resp Database	74.2%	58.4%	66.2%
Total	Count	383	387	770	
	Expected Count	383.0	387.0	770.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.360 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	20.662	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	21.518	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.333	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 129.32.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Resp Database

Crosstab

		Resp Database		Total	
		Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton		
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	58 ^a	109 ^a	167
		Expected Count	56.3	110.7	167.0
		% within Resp Database	93.5%	89.3%	90.8%
	Negative	Count	4 ^a	13 ^a	17
		Expected Count	5.7	11.3	17.0
		% within Resp Database	6.5%	10.7%	9.2%
Total	Count	62	122	184	
	Expected Count	62.0	122.0	184.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.866 ^a	1	.352		
Continuity Correction ^b	.438	1	.508		
Likelihood Ratio	.916	1	.339		
Fisher's Exact Test				.429	.259
Linear-by-Linear Association	.862	1	.353		
N of Valid Cases	184				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.73.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

How much education have you completed? * Resp Database

Crosstab

			Resp Database		Total
			Census Tracts with population more heavily concentrated than the City of Dayton	Census Tracts with population with lower concentration of immigrants than the City of Dayton	
How much education have you completed?	Did not complete high school	Count	9 _a	19 _a	28
		Expected Count	14.0	14.0	28.0
		% within Resp Database	2.3%	4.9%	3.6%
	High school graduate or GED equivalent	Count	124 _a	79 _b	203
		Expected Count	101.4	101.6	203.0
		% within Resp Database	32.2%	20.5%	26.3%
	Some college or technical school	Count	100 _a	131 _b	231
		Expected Count	115.4	115.6	231.0
		% within Resp Database	26.0%	33.9%	30.0%
	Associate's degree	Count	28 _a	41 _a	69
		Expected Count	34.5	34.5	69.0
		% within Resp Database	7.3%	10.6%	8.9%
	Bachelor's degree	Count	57 _a	64 _a	121
		Expected Count	60.4	60.6	121.0
		% within Resp Database	14.8%	16.6%	15.7%
	Post-graduate education and/or degree	Count	67 _a	52 _a	119
		Expected Count	59.4	59.6	119.0
		% within Resp Database	17.4%	13.5%	15.4%
Total	Count	385	386	771	
	Expected Count	385.0	386.0	771.0	
	% within Resp Database	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Resp Database categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.451 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.647	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.024	1	.876
N of Valid Cases	771		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.98.

Are either of your parents an immigrant? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Are either of your parents an immigrant?	Yes	Count	15	22	37
		Expected Count	17.5	19.5	37.0
		% within Gender	4.1%	5.4%	4.8%
	No	Count	350	383	733
		Expected Count	347.5	385.5	733.0
		% within Gender	95.9%	94.6%	95.2%
Total	Count	365	405	770	
	Expected Count	365.0	405.0	770.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.734 ^a	1	.392		
Continuity Correction ^b	.473	1	.491		
Likelihood Ratio	.740	1	.390		
Fisher's Exact Test				.405	.246
Linear-by-Linear Association	.733	1	.392		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.54.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Yes	Count	307	331	638
		Expected Count	301.8	336.2	638.0
		% within Gender	89.5%	86.6%	88.0%
	No	Count	36	51	87
		Expected Count	41.2	45.8	87.0
		% within Gender	10.5%	13.4%	12.0%
Total	Count	343	382	725	
	Expected Count	343.0	382.0	725.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^a	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.138	1	.286		
Likelihood Ratio	1.403	1	.236		
Fisher's Exact Test				.254	.143
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	725				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.16.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	A good thing	Count	88	96	184
		Expected Count	89.1	94.9	184.0
		% within Gender	28.9%	29.6%	29.3%
	A bad thing	Count	46	24	70
		Expected Count	33.9	36.1	70.0
		% within Gender	15.1%	7.4%	11.1%
	Doesn't make much difference	Count	170	204	374
		Expected Count	181.0	193.0	374.0
		% within Gender	55.9%	63.0%	59.6%
Total	Count	304	324	628	
	Expected Count	304.0	324.0	628.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.726 ^a	2	.008
Likelihood Ratio	9.839	2	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	.795	1	.373
N of Valid Cases	628		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 33.89.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count	263	304	567
		Expected Count	272.1	294.9	567.0
		% within Gender	73.7%	78.6%	76.2%
	No	Count	94	83	177
		Expected Count	84.9	92.1	177.0
		% within Gender	26.3%	21.4%	23.8%
Total	Count	357	387	744	
	Expected Count	357.0	387.0	744.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.443 ^a	1	.118	.122	.070
Continuity Correction ^b	2.181	1	.140		
Likelihood Ratio	2.441	1	.118		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.439	1	.118		
N of Valid Cases	744				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 84.93.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count	247	312	559
		Expected Count	260.3	298.7	559.0
		% within Gender	71.4%	78.6%	75.2%
	No	Count	99	85	184
		Expected Count	85.7	98.3	184.0
		% within Gender	28.6%	21.4%	24.8%
Total	Count	346	397	743	
	Expected Count	346.0	397.0	743.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.147 ^a	1	.023		
Continuity Correction ^b	4.768	1	.029		
Likelihood Ratio	5.138	1	.023		
Fisher's Exact Test				.027	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.140	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	743				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 85.69.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Yes	Count	254	308	562
		Expected Count	268.6	293.4	562.0
		% within Gender	71.3%	79.2%	75.4%
	No	Count	102	81	183
		Expected Count	87.4	95.6	183.0
		% within Gender	28.7%	20.8%	24.6%
Total	Count	356	389	745	
	Expected Count	356.0	389.0	745.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.149 ^a	1	.013		
Continuity Correction ^b	5.734	1	.017		
Likelihood Ratio	6.150	1	.013		
Fisher's Exact Test				.014	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.141	1	.013		
N of Valid Cases	745				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 87.45.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count	252	294	546
		Expected Count	258.4	287.6	546.0
		% within Gender	71.4%	74.8%	73.2%
	No	Count	101	99	200
		Expected Count	94.6	105.4	200.0
		% within Gender	28.6%	25.2%	26.8%
Total	Count	353	393	746	
	Expected Count	353.0	393.0	746.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.109 ^a	1	.292		
Continuity Correction ^b	.942	1	.332		
Likelihood Ratio	1.108	1	.292		
Fisher's Exact Test				.321	.166
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.108	1	.293		
N of Valid Cases	746				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 94.64.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	86	102	188
		Expected Count	89.5	98.5	188.0
		% within Gender	24.0%	25.9%	25.0%
	Comfortable	Count	210	257	467
		Expected Count	222.3	244.7	467.0
		% within Gender	58.7%	65.2%	62.1%
	Uncomfortable	Count	55	30	85
		Expected Count	40.5	44.5	85.0
		% within Gender	15.4%	7.6%	11.3%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	7	5	12
		Expected Count	5.7	6.3	12.0
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Total	Count	358	394	752	
	Expected Count	358.0	394.0	752.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.082 ^a	3	.007
Likelihood Ratio	12.175	3	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.438	1	.020
N of Valid Cases	752		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.71.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	84	99	183
		Expected Count	86.2	96.8	183.0
		% within Gender	23.6%	24.8%	24.2%
	Comfortable	Count	212	256	468
		Expected Count	220.4	247.6	468.0
		% within Gender	59.6%	64.0%	61.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	52	36	88
		Expected Count	41.4	46.6	88.0
		% within Gender	14.6%	9.0%	11.6%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	8	9	17
		Expected Count	8.0	9.0	17.0
		% within Gender	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%
Total	Count	356	400	756	
	Expected Count	356.0	400.0	756.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.793 ^a	3	.122
Likelihood Ratio	5.796	3	.122
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.943	1	.163
N of Valid Cases	756		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.01.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	72	63	135
		Expected Count	63.9	71.1	135.0
		% within Gender	20.4%	16.0%	18.1%
	Comfortable	Count	163	232	395
		Expected Count	186.9	208.1	395.0
		% within Gender	46.2%	59.0%	52.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	68	74	142
		Expected Count	67.2	74.8	142.0
		% within Gender	19.3%	18.8%	19.0%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	50	24	74
		Expected Count	35.0	39.0	74.0
		% within Gender	14.2%	6.1%	9.9%
Total	Count	353	393	746	
	Expected Count	353.0	393.0	746.0	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.954 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.156	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.802	1	.051
N of Valid Cases	746		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 35.02.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	80	85	165
		Expected Count	78.5	86.5	165.0
		% within Gender	22.2%	21.4%	21.8%
	Comfortable	Count	201	246	447
		Expected Count	212.6	234.4	447.0
		% within Gender	55.8%	62.0%	59.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	75	49	124
		Expected Count	59.0	65.0	124.0
		% within Gender	20.8%	12.3%	16.4%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	4	17	21
		Expected Count	10.0	11.0	21.0
		% within Gender	1.1%	4.3%	2.8%
Total	Count	360	397	757	
	Expected Count	360.0	397.0	757.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.412 ^a	3	.001
Likelihood Ratio	17.034	3	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	.068	1	.794
N of Valid Cases	757		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.99.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	98	99	197
		Expected Count	93.4	103.6	197.0
		% within Gender	27.5%	25.0%	26.2%
	Comfortable	Count	180	255	435
		Expected Count	206.2	228.8	435.0
		% within Gender	50.4%	64.4%	57.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	72	37	109
		Expected Count	51.7	57.3	109.0
		% within Gender	20.2%	9.3%	14.5%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	7	5	12
		Expected Count	5.7	6.3	12.0
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Total	Count	357	396	753	
	Expected Count	357.0	396.0	753.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.549 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.755	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.866	1	.049
N of Valid Cases	753		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.69.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	54	45	99
		Expected Count	46.8	52.2	99.0
		% within Gender	15.4%	11.5%	13.4%
	Comfortable	Count	146	185	331
		Expected Count	156.6	174.4	331.0
		% within Gender	41.7%	47.4%	44.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	68	111	179
		Expected Count	84.7	94.3	179.0
		% within Gender	19.4%	28.5%	24.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	82	49	131
		Expected Count	62.0	69.0	131.0
		% within Gender	23.4%	12.6%	17.7%
Total	Count	350	390	740	
	Expected Count	350.0	390.0	740.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.958 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.096	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.642	1	.200
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 46.82.

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	27	55	82
		Expected Count	37.5	44.5	82.0
		% within Gender	8.3%	14.2%	11.5%
	Agree	Count	199	229	428
		Expected Count	195.7	232.3	428.0
		% within Gender	60.9%	59.0%	59.9%
	Disagree	Count	73	86	159
		Expected Count	72.7	86.3	159.0
		% within Gender	22.3%	22.2%	22.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	28	18	46
		Expected Count	21.0	25.0	46.0
		% within Gender	8.6%	4.6%	6.4%
Total	Count	327	388	715	
	Expected Count	327.0	388.0	715.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.767 ^a	3	.021
Likelihood Ratio	9.905	3	.019
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.380	1	.012
N of Valid Cases	715		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.04.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	25	55	80
		Expected Count	38.1	41.9	80.0
		% within Gender	7.4%	14.8%	11.3%
	Agree	Count	163	197	360
		Expected Count	171.4	188.6	360.0
		% within Gender	48.2%	53.0%	50.7%
	Disagree	Count	102	100	202
		Expected Count	96.2	105.8	202.0
		% within Gender	30.2%	26.9%	28.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	48	20	68
		Expected Count	32.4	35.6	68.0
		% within Gender	14.2%	5.4%	9.6%
Total	Count	338	372	710	
	Expected Count	338.0	372.0	710.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.438 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.016	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.901	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	710		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 32.37.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	66	48	114
		Expected Count	55.0	59.0	114.0
		% within Gender	18.6%	12.6%	15.5%
	Agree	Count	155	197	352
		Expected Count	169.8	182.2	352.0
		% within Gender	43.8%	51.8%	48.0%
	Disagree	Count	96	114	210
		Expected Count	101.3	108.7	210.0
		% within Gender	27.1%	30.0%	28.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	37	21	58
		Expected Count	28.0	30.0	58.0
		% within Gender	10.5%	5.5%	7.9%
Total	Count	354	380	734	
	Expected Count	354.0	380.0	734.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.905 ^a	3	.005
Likelihood Ratio	12.972	3	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	.025	1	.875
N of Valid Cases	734		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.97.

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton	Strongly agree	Count	69	42	111
		Expected Count	52.7	58.3	111.0
		% within Gender	19.5%	10.7%	14.9%
	Agree	Count	96	122	218
		Expected Count	103.4	114.6	218.0
		% within Gender	27.2%	31.2%	29.3%
	Disagree	Count	143	196	339
		Expected Count	160.8	178.2	339.0
		% within Gender	40.5%	50.1%	45.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	45	31	76
		Expected Count	36.1	39.9	76.0
		% within Gender	12.7%	7.9%	10.2%
	Total	Count	353	391	744
		Expected Count	353.0	391.0	744.0
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.641 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.714	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.899	1	.168
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.06.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	62	37	99
		Expected Count	46.0	53.0	99.0
		% within Gender	19.6%	10.1%	14.5%
	Agree	Count	154	204	358
		Expected Count	166.4	191.6	358.0
		% within Gender	48.6%	55.9%	52.5%
	Disagree	Count	68	101	169
		Expected Count	78.6	90.4	169.0
		% within Gender	21.5%	27.7%	24.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	33	23	56
		Expected Count	26.0	30.0	56.0
		% within Gender	10.4%	6.3%	8.2%
Total	Count	317	365	682	
	Expected Count	317.0	365.0	682.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.238 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.288	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.436	1	.231
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.03.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	17	7	24
		Expected Count	11.4	12.6	24.0
		% within Gender	4.8%	1.8%	3.2%
	Agree	Count	39	42	81
		Expected Count	38.4	42.6	81.0
		% within Gender	11.1%	10.8%	10.9%
	Disagree	Count	232	265	497
		Expected Count	235.7	261.3	497.0
		% within Gender	66.1%	68.1%	67.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	63	75	138
		Expected Count	65.5	72.5	138.0
		% within Gender	17.9%	19.3%	18.6%
Total	Count	351	389	740	
	Expected Count	351.0	389.0	740.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.576 ^a	3	.134
Likelihood Ratio	5.693	3	.128
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.590	1	.108
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.38.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count 235	263	498
		Expected Count 233.9	264.1	498.0
		% within Gender 73.9%	73.3%	73.6%
	No	Count 83	96	179
		Expected Count 84.1	94.9	179.0
		% within Gender 26.1%	26.7%	26.4%
Total		Count 318	359	677
		Expected Count 318.0	359.0	677.0
		% within Gender 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.036 ^a	1	.850		
Continuity Correction ^b	.010	1	.919		
Likelihood Ratio	.036	1	.850		
Fisher's Exact Test				.862	.460
Linear-by-Linear Association	.035	1	.851		
N of Valid Cases	677				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 84.08.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	190	243	433
		Expected Count	209.6	223.4	433.0
		% within Gender	56.7%	68.1%	62.6%
	No	Count	145	114	259
		Expected Count	125.4	133.6	259.0
		% within Gender	43.3%	31.9%	37.4%
Total	Count	335	357	692	
	Expected Count	335.0	357.0	692.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.508 ^a	1	.002		
Continuity Correction ^b	9.029	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	9.523	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.494	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	692				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 125.38.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you know any immigrants? * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Do you know any immigrants?	Yes	Count	255	277	532
		Expected Count	251.2	280.8	532.0
		% within Gender	70.1%	68.1%	69.0%
	No	Count	109	130	239
		Expected Count	112.8	126.2	239.0
		% within Gender	29.9%	31.9%	31.0%
Total	Count	364	407	771	
	Expected Count	364.0	407.0	771.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.358 ^a	1	.550		
Continuity Correction ^b	.271	1	.603		
Likelihood Ratio	.358	1	.550		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.302
Linear-by-Linear Association	.357	1	.550		
N of Valid Cases	771				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 112.84.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you have friends who are immigrants? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Do you have friends who are immigrants?	Yes	Count	187	195	382
		Expected Count	180.3	201.7	382.0
		% within Gender	73.6%	68.7%	71.0%
	No	Count	67	89	156
		Expected Count	73.7	82.3	156.0
		% within Gender	26.4%	31.3%	29.0%
Total	Count	254	284	538	
	Expected Count	254.0	284.0	538.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.602 ^a	1	.206		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.370	1	.242		
Likelihood Ratio	1.607	1	.205		
Fisher's Exact Test				.217	.121
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.599	1	.206		
N of Valid Cases	538				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 73.65.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants? *
Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?	Count	235	307	542
	Yes Expected Count	248.3	293.7	542.0
	% within Gender	72.8%	80.4%	76.9%
	Count	88	75	163
	No Expected Count	74.7	88.3	163.0
	% within Gender	27.2%	19.6%	23.1%
Total	Count	323	382	705
	Expected Count	323.0	382.0	705.0
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.704 ^a	1	.017		
Continuity Correction ^b	5.284	1	.022		
Likelihood Ratio	5.687	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.020	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.696	1	.017		
N of Valid Cases	705				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 74.68.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count	145	110	255
		Expected Count	121.2	133.8	255.0
		% within Gender	39.7%	27.3%	33.2%
	No	Count	220	293	513
		Expected Count	243.8	269.2	513.0
		% within Gender	60.3%	72.7%	66.8%
Total	Count	365	403	768	
	Expected Count	365.0	403.0	768.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.344 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	12.790	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	13.361	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.327	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	768				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 121.19.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	108	90	198
		Expected Count	112.9	85.1	198.0
		% within Gender	83.1%	91.8%	86.8%
	Negative	Count	22	8	30
		Expected Count	17.1	12.9	30.0
		% within Gender	16.9%	8.2%	13.2%
	Total	Count	130	98	228
		Expected Count	130.0	98.0	228.0
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.752 ^a	1	.053	.074	.039
Continuity Correction ^b	3.025	1	.082		
Likelihood Ratio	3.926	1	.048		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.736	1	.053		
N of Valid Cases	228				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.89.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count	126	134	260
		Expected Count	123.6	136.4	260.0
		% within Gender	34.4%	33.2%	33.8%
	No	Count	240	270	510
		Expected Count	242.4	267.6	510.0
		% within Gender	65.6%	66.8%	66.2%
Total	Count	366	404	770	
	Expected Count	366.0	404.0	770.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.136 ^a	1	.712	.760	.385
Continuity Correction ^b	.085	1	.770		
Likelihood Ratio	.136	1	.712		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.136	1	.713		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 123.58.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	76	91	167
		Expected Count	78.1	88.9	167.0
		% within Gender	88.4%	92.9%	90.8%
	Negative	Count	10	7	17
		Expected Count	7.9	9.1	17.0
		% within Gender	11.6%	7.1%	9.2%
Total	Count	86	98	184	
	Expected Count	86.0	98.0	184.0	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.099 ^a	1	.295		
Continuity Correction ^b	.629	1	.428		
Likelihood Ratio	1.098	1	.295		
Fisher's Exact Test				.319	.214
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296		
N of Valid Cases	184				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.95.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Yes	Count	189	119	308
		Expected Count	196.7	111.3	308.0
		% within Race	86.3%	96.0%	89.8%
	No	Count	30	5	35
		Expected Count	22.3	12.7	35.0
		% within Race	13.7%	4.0%	10.2%
Total	Count	219	124	343	
	Expected Count	219.0	124.0	343.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	1	.004		
Continuity Correction ^b	7.053	1	.008		
Likelihood Ratio	9.202	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.005	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.050	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	343				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.65.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	A good thing	Count	62	26	88
		Expected Count	54.1	33.9	88.0
		% within Race	33.2%	22.2%	28.9%
	A bad thing	Count	25	22	47
		Expected Count	28.9	18.1	47.0
		% within Race	13.4%	18.8%	15.5%
	Doesn't make much difference	Count	100	69	169
		Expected Count	104.0	65.0	169.0
		% within Race	53.5%	59.0%	55.6%
	Total	Count	187	117	304
		Expected Count	187.0	117.0	304.0
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.738 ^a	2	.094
Likelihood Ratio	4.815	2	.090
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.501	1	.114
N of Valid Cases	304		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.09.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count	150	113	263
		Expected Count	165.8	97.2	263.0
		% within Race	66.7%	85.6%	73.7%
	No	Count	75	19	94
		Expected Count	59.2	34.8	94.0
		% within Race	33.3%	14.4%	26.3%
Total	Count	225	132	357	
	Expected Count	225.0	132.0	357.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.384 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	14.423	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	16.398	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.341	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	357				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.76.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count	136	111	247
		Expected Count	155.4	91.6	247.0
		% within Race	62.7%	86.7%	71.6%
	No	Count	81	17	98
		Expected Count	61.6	36.4	98.0
		% within Race	37.3%	13.3%	28.4%
Total	Count	217	128	345	
	Expected Count	217.0	128.0	345.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.890 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	21.723	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	24.747	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.824	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	345				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.36.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Yes	Count	140	115	255
		Expected Count	160.0	95.0	255.0
		% within Race	62.5%	86.5%	71.4%
	No	Count	84	18	102
		Expected Count	64.0	38.0	102.0
		% within Race	37.5%	13.5%	28.6%
Total	Count	224	133	357	
	Expected Count	224.0	133.0	357.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.487 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	22.327	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.339	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.421	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	357				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 38.00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? * Race

Crosstab

		Race		Total	
		Caucasian or white	All minorities		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count	141	112	253
		Expected Count	158.9	94.1	253.0
		% within Race	63.2%	84.8%	71.3%
	No	Count	82	20	102
		Expected Count	64.1	37.9	102.0
		% within Race	36.8%	15.2%	28.7%
Total	Count	223	132	355	
	Expected Count	223.0	132.0	355.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.927 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	17.886	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	20.181	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.874	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	355				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 37.93.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	60	26	86
		Expected Count	54.6	31.4	86.0
		% within Race	26.5%	20.0%	24.2%
	Comfortable	Count	121	88	209
		Expected Count	132.7	76.3	209.0
		% within Race	53.5%	67.7%	58.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	42	12	54
		Expected Count	34.3	19.7	54.0
		% within Race	18.6%	9.2%	15.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	4.4	2.6	7.0
		% within Race	1.3%	3.1%	2.0%
Total	Count	226	130	356	
	Expected Count	226.0	130.0	356.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.325 ^a	3	.016
Likelihood Ratio	10.632	3	.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	.008	1	.927
N of Valid Cases	356		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.56.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	57	27	84
		Expected Count	53.3	30.7	84.0
		% within Race	25.1%	20.6%	23.5%
	Comfortable	Count	124	88	212
		Expected Count	134.4	77.6	212.0
		% within Race	54.6%	67.2%	59.2%
	Uncomfortable	Count	45	8	53
		Expected Count	33.6	19.4	53.0
		% within Race	19.8%	6.1%	14.8%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	1	8	9
		Expected Count	5.7	3.3	9.0
		% within Race	0.4%	6.1%	2.5%
Total	Count	227	131	358	
	Expected Count	227.0	131.0	358.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.091 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.728	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.077	1	.782
N of Valid Cases	358		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.29.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	54	18	72
		Expected Count	45.6	26.4	72.0
		% within Race	24.1%	13.8%	20.3%
	Comfortable	Count	83	80	163
		Expected Count	103.1	59.9	163.0
		% within Race	37.1%	61.5%	46.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	45	23	68
		Expected Count	43.0	25.0	68.0
		% within Race	20.1%	17.7%	19.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	42	9	51
		Expected Count	32.3	18.7	51.0
		% within Race	18.8%	6.9%	14.4%
Total	Count	224	130	354	
	Expected Count	224.0	130.0	354.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.201 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.046	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.285	1	.131
N of Valid Cases	354		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.73.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	54	26	80
		Expected Count	50.6	29.4	80.0
		% within Race	23.8%	19.7%	22.3%
	Comfortable	Count	110	91	201
		Expected Count	127.1	73.9	201.0
		% within Race	48.5%	68.9%	56.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	59	15	74
		Expected Count	46.8	27.2	74.0
		% within Race	26.0%	11.4%	20.6%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	4	0	4
		Expected Count	2.5	1.5	4.0
		% within Race	1.8%	0.0%	1.1%
Total	Count	227	132	359	
	Expected Count	227.0	132.0	359.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.870 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	19.888	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.475	1	.062
N of Valid Cases	359		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.47.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	61	37	98
		Expected Count	61.8	36.2	98.0
		% within Race	27.1%	28.0%	27.5%
	Comfortable	Count	96	83	179
		Expected Count	112.8	66.2	179.0
		% within Race	42.7%	62.9%	50.1%
	Uncomfortable	Count	65	7	72
		Expected Count	45.4	26.6	72.0
		% within Race	28.9%	5.3%	20.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	3	5	8
		Expected Count	5.0	3.0	8.0
		% within Race	1.3%	3.8%	2.2%
Total	Count	225	132	357	
	Expected Count	225.0	132.0	357.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.988 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	36.766	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.638	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	357		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.96.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	35	19	54
		Expected Count	34.4	19.6	54.0
		% within Race	15.7%	15.0%	15.4%
	Comfortable	Count	77	69	146
		Expected Count	93.0	53.0	146.0
		% within Race	34.5%	54.3%	41.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	40	28	68
		Expected Count	43.3	24.7	68.0
		% within Race	17.9%	22.0%	19.4%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	71	11	82
		Expected Count	52.2	29.8	82.0
		% within Race	31.8%	8.7%	23.4%
Total	Count	223	127	350	
	Expected Count	223.0	127.0	350.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.891 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.736	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.536	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	350		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.59.

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	12	15	27
		Expected Count	17.1	9.9	27.0
		% within Race	5.8%	12.5%	8.3%
	Agree	Count	134	65	199
		Expected Count	126.0	73.0	199.0
		% within Race	64.7%	54.2%	60.9%
	Disagree	Count	47	26	73
		Expected Count	46.2	26.8	73.0
		% within Race	22.7%	21.7%	22.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	14	14	28
		Expected Count	17.7	10.3	28.0
		% within Race	6.8%	11.7%	8.6%
Total	Count	207	120	327	
	Expected Count	207.0	120.0	327.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.697 ^a	3	.053
Likelihood Ratio	7.462	3	.059
Linear-by-Linear Association	.059	1	.809
N of Valid Cases	327		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.91.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	10	15	25
		Expected Count	16.3	8.7	25.0
		% within Race	4.5%	12.7%	7.4%
	Agree	Count	108	55	163
		Expected Count	106.1	56.9	163.0
		% within Race	49.1%	46.6%	48.2%
	Disagree	Count	70	32	102
		Expected Count	66.4	35.6	102.0
		% within Race	31.8%	27.1%	30.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	32	16	48
		Expected Count	31.2	16.8	48.0
		% within Race	14.5%	13.6%	14.2%
Total	Count	220	118	338	
	Expected Count	220.0	118.0	338.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.638 ^a	3	.054
Likelihood Ratio	7.232	3	.065
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.473	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	338		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.73.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	33	33	66
		Expected Count	41.9	24.1	66.0
		% within Race	14.7%	25.6%	18.6%
	Agree	Count	100	55	155
		Expected Count	98.5	56.5	155.0
		% within Race	44.4%	42.6%	43.8%
	Disagree	Count	72	24	96
		Expected Count	61.0	35.0	96.0
		% within Race	32.0%	18.6%	27.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	20	17	37
		Expected Count	23.5	13.5	37.0
		% within Race	8.9%	13.2%	10.5%
Total	Count	225	129	354	
	Expected Count	225.0	129.0	354.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	3	.007
Likelihood Ratio	12.251	3	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109
N of Valid Cases	354		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.48.

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton	Strongly agree	Count	41	28	69
		Expected Count	43.5	25.5	69.0
		% within Race	18.4%	21.4%	19.5%
	Agree	Count	62	34	96
		Expected Count	60.5	35.5	96.0
		% within Race	27.8%	26.0%	27.1%
	Disagree	Count	88	55	143
		Expected Count	90.1	52.9	143.0
		% within Race	39.5%	42.0%	40.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	32	14	46
		Expected Count	29.0	17.0	46.0
		% within Race	14.3%	10.7%	13.0%
Total	Count	223	131	354	
	Expected Count	223.0	131.0	354.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.464 ^a	3	.691
Likelihood Ratio	1.484	3	.686
Linear-by-Linear Association	.556	1	.456
N of Valid Cases	354		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.02.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	41	21	62
		Expected Count	39.8	22.2	62.0
		% within Race	20.1%	18.4%	19.5%
	Agree	Count	103	51	154
		Expected Count	98.8	55.2	154.0
		% within Race	50.5%	44.7%	48.4%
	Disagree	Count	34	34	68
		Expected Count	43.6	24.4	68.0
		% within Race	16.7%	29.8%	21.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	26	8	34
		Expected Count	21.8	12.2	34.0
		% within Race	12.7%	7.0%	10.7%
Total	Count	204	114	318	
	Expected Count	204.0	114.0	318.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.770 ^a	3	.033
Likelihood Ratio	8.687	3	.034
Linear-by-Linear Association	.106	1	.744
N of Valid Cases	318		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.19.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	5	12	17
		Expected Count	10.7	6.3	17.0
		% within Race	2.3%	9.2%	4.8%
	Agree	Count	18	21	39
		Expected Count	24.4	14.6	39.0
		% within Race	8.2%	16.0%	11.1%
	Disagree	Count	166	66	232
		Expected Count	145.4	86.6	232.0
		% within Race	75.5%	50.4%	66.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	31	32	63
		Expected Count	39.5	23.5	63.0
		% within Race	14.1%	24.4%	17.9%
Total	Count	220	131	351	
	Expected Count	220.0	131.0	351.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.292 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.950	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.157	1	.142
N of Valid Cases	351		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.34.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count	180	55	235
		Expected Count	155.9	79.1	235.0
		% within Race	85.3%	51.4%	73.9%
	No	Count	31	52	83
		Expected Count	55.1	27.9	83.0
		% within Race	14.7%	48.6%	26.1%
Total	Count	211	107	318	
	Expected Count	211.0	107.0	318.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.316 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	40.576	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	40.770	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	42.183	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	318				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.93.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	114	76	190
		Expected Count	121.4	68.6	190.0
		% within Race	53.3%	62.8%	56.7%
	No	Count	100	45	145
		Expected Count	92.6	52.4	145.0
		% within Race	46.7%	37.2%	43.3%
Total	Count	214	121	335	
	Expected Count	214.0	121.0	335.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.865 ^a	1	.091		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.490	1	.115		
Likelihood Ratio	2.885	1	.089		
Fisher's Exact Test				.108	.057
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.856	1	.091		
N of Valid Cases	335				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 52.37.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Race

Crosstab

		Race		Total	
		Caucasian or white	All minorities		
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count	107	38	145
		Expected Count	91.4	53.6	145.0
		% within Race	46.5%	28.1%	39.7%
	No	Count	123	97	220
		Expected Count	138.6	81.4	220.0
		% within Race	53.5%	71.9%	60.3%
Total	Count	230	135	365	
	Expected Count	230.0	135.0	365.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.994 ^a	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	11.239	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	12.269	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.961	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	365				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 53.63.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	81	27	108
		Expected Count	77.5	30.5	108.0
		% within Race	86.2%	73.0%	82.4%
	Negative	Count	13	10	23
		Expected Count	16.5	6.5	23.0
		% within Race	13.8%	27.0%	17.6%
Total	Count	94	37	131	
	Expected Count	94.0	37.0	131.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.195 ^a	1	.074		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.348	1	.125		
Likelihood Ratio	2.998	1	.083		
Fisher's Exact Test				.081	.066
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.170	1	.075		
N of Valid Cases	131				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.50.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count	69	57	126
		Expected Count	79.5	46.5	126.0
	No	% within Race	29.9%	42.2%	34.4%
		Count	162	78	240
Total		Expected Count	151.5	88.5	240.0
		% within Race	70.1%	57.8%	65.6%
		Count	231	135	366
		Expected Count	231.0	135.0	366.0
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.759 ^a	1	.016		
Continuity Correction ^b	5.225	1	.022		
Likelihood Ratio	5.699	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.022	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.743	1	.017		
N of Valid Cases	366				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 46.48.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Race

Crosstab

			Race		Total
			Caucasian or white	All minorities	
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	31	45	76
		Expected Count	32.7	43.3	76.0
		% within Race	83.8%	91.8%	88.4%
	Negative	Count	6	4	10
		Expected Count	4.3	5.7	10.0
		% within Race	16.2%	8.2%	11.6%
Total	Count	37	49	86	
	Expected Count	37.0	49.0	86.0	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.330 ^a	1	.249		
Continuity Correction ^b	.662	1	.416		
Likelihood Ratio	1.317	1	.251		
Fisher's Exact Test				.316	.207
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.315	1	.251		
N of Valid Cases	86				

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.30.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Are either of your parents an immigrant? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Are either of your parents an immigrant?	Yes	Count 11 _a	Count 17 _a	Count 9 _a	Count 37
		Expected Count 15.0	Expected Count 11.5	Expected Count 10.5	Expected Count 37.0
		% within Age 3.5%	% within Age 7.1%	% within Age 4.1%	% within Age 4.8%
No		Count 301 _a	Count 223 _a	Count 209 _a	Count 733
		Expected Count 297.0	Expected Count 228.5	Expected Count 207.5	Expected Count 733.0
		% within Age 96.5%	% within Age 92.9%	% within Age 95.9%	% within Age 95.2%
Total		Count 312	Count 240	Count 218	Count 770
		Expected Count 312.0	Expected Count 240.0	Expected Count 218.0	Expected Count 770.0
		% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.058 ^a	2	.131
Likelihood Ratio	3.845	2	.146
Linear-by-Linear Association	.267	1	.606
N of Valid Cases	770		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.48.

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Yes	Count 252 _a	Count 203 _a	Count 184 _a	Count 639
		Expected Count 260.5	Expected Count 197.2	Expected Count 181.3	Expected Count 639.0
		% within Age 85.1%	% within Age 90.6%	% within Age 89.3%	% within Age 88.0%
	No	Count 44 _a	Count 21 _a	Count 22 _a	Count 87
		Expected Count 35.5	Expected Count 26.8	Expected Count 24.7	Expected Count 87.0
		% within Age 14.9%	% within Age 9.4%	% within Age 10.7%	% within Age 12.0%
Total		Count 296	Count 224	Count 206	Count 726
		Expected Count 296.0	Expected Count 224.0	Expected Count 206.0	Expected Count 726.0
		% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.107 ^a	2	.128
Likelihood Ratio	4.076	2	.130
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.426	1	.119
N of Valid Cases	726		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.69.

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total	
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older		
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	A good thing	Count	61 ^a	63 ^{a, b}	61 ^b	185	
		Expected Count	74.1	58.5	52.4	185.0	
		% within Age	24.2%	31.7%	34.3%	29.4%	
A bad thing	Doesn't make much difference	Count	38 ^a	18 ^{a, b}	14 ^b	70	
		Expected Count	28.0	22.1	19.8	70.0	
		% within Age	15.1%	9.0%	7.9%	11.1%	
Total		Count	153 ^a	118 ^a	103 ^a	374	
		Expected Count	149.8	118.3	105.8	374.0	
		% within Age	60.7%	59.3%	57.9%	59.5%	
Total			Count	252	199	178	629
Total			Expected Count	252.0	199.0	178.0	629.0
Total			% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.249 ^a	4	.036
Likelihood Ratio	10.212	4	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.288	1	.130
N of Valid Cases	629		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.81.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count 220 ^a	180 ^{a, b}	167 ^b	567
		Expected Count 234.7	177.6	154.7	567.0
		% within Age 71.4%	77.3%	82.3%	76.2%
No		Count 88 ^a	53 ^{a, b}	36 ^b	177
		Expected Count 73.3	55.4	48.3	177.0
		% within Age 28.6%	22.7%	17.7%	23.8%
Total		Count 308	233	203	744
		Expected Count 308.0	233.0	203.0	744.0
		% within Age 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.130 ^a	2	.017
Likelihood Ratio	8.252	2	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.105	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 48.29.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total	
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count	212 _a	179 _{a, b}	168 _b	559
		Expected Count	226.8	175.5	156.7	559.0
		% within Age	70.4%	76.8%	80.8%	75.3%
	No	Count	89 _a	54 _{a, b}	40 _b	183
		Expected Count	74.2	57.5	51.3	183.0
		% within Age	29.6%	23.2%	19.2%	24.7%
Total	Count	301	233	208	742	
	Expected Count	301.0	233.0	208.0	742.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.478 ^a	2	.024
Likelihood Ratio	7.514	2	.023
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.341	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	742		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 51.30.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Yes	Count 225 _a	174 _{a, b}	164 _b	563
		Expected Count 235.5	174.3	153.2	563.0
		% within Age 72.1%	75.3%	80.8%	75.5%
No		Count 87 _a	57 _{a, b}	39 _b	183
		Expected Count 76.5	56.7	49.8	183.0
		% within Age 27.9%	24.7%	19.2%	24.5%
Total		Count 312	231	203	746
		Expected Count 312.0	231.0	203.0	746.0
		% within Age 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.000 ^a	2	.082
Likelihood Ratio	5.119	2	.077
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.886	1	.027
N of Valid Cases	746		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 49.80.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count	210 _a	173 _{a, b}	164 _b	547
		Expected Count	227.7	168.4	150.8	547.0
		% within Age	67.5%	75.2%	79.6%	73.2%
	No	Count	101 _a	57 _{a, b}	42 _b	200
		Expected Count	83.3	61.6	55.2	200.0
		% within Age	32.5%	24.8%	20.4%	26.8%
Total	Count	311	230	206	747	
	Expected Count	311.0	230.0	206.0	747.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.907 ^a	2	.007
Likelihood Ratio	9.961	2	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.676	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	747		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 55.15.

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	88 ^a	61 ^{a, b}	38 ^b	187
		Expected Count	76.7	59.0	51.3	187.0
		% within Age	28.6%	25.7%	18.4%	24.9%
	Comfortable	Count	169 ^a	155 ^b	143 ^b	467
		Expected Count	191.5	147.4	128.1	467.0
		% within Age	54.9%	65.4%	69.4%	62.2%
	Uncomfortable	Count	51 ^a	13 ^b	21 ^b	85
		Expected Count	34.9	26.8	23.3	85.0
		% within Age	16.6%	5.5%	10.2%	11.3%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	0 ^a	8 ^b	4 ^b	12
		Expected Count	4.9	3.8	3.3	12.0
		% within Age	0.0%	3.4%	1.9%	1.6%
Total	Count	308	237	206	751	
	Expected Count	308.0	237.0	206.0	751.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.545 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	39.372	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.457	1	.227
N of Valid Cases	751		

a. 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.29.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	84 ^a	63 ^a	36 ^b	183
		Expected Count	75.1	57.5	50.5	183.0
		% within Age	27.0%	26.5%	17.2%	24.1%
	Comfortable	Count	177 ^a	150 ^{a, b}	141 ^b	468
		Expected Count	192.0	146.9	129.0	468.0
		% within Age	56.9%	63.0%	67.5%	61.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	42 ^a	20 ^a	27 ^a	89
		Expected Count	36.5	27.9	24.5	89.0
		% within Age	13.5%	8.4%	12.9%	11.7%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	8 ^a	5 ^a	5 ^a	18
		Expected Count	7.4	5.7	5.0	18.0
		% within Age	2.6%	2.1%	2.4%	2.4%
Total	Count	311	238	209	758	
	Expected Count	311.0	238.0	209.0	758.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.538 ^a	6	.073
Likelihood Ratio	12.151	6	.059
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.622	1	.203
N of Valid Cases	758		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.96.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	72 ^a	36 ^b	27 ^b	135
		Expected Count	55.6	42.3	37.1	135.0
		% within Age	23.5%	15.4%	13.2%	18.1%
	Comfortable	Count	135 ^a	143 ^b	117 ^b	395
		Expected Count	162.6	123.9	108.5	395.0
		% within Age	44.0%	61.1%	57.1%	52.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	59 ^a	37 ^a	46 ^a	142
		Expected Count	58.4	44.5	39.0	142.0
		% within Age	19.2%	15.8%	22.4%	19.0%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	41 ^a	18 ^b	15 ^b	74
		Expected Count	30.5	23.2	20.3	74.0
		% within Age	13.4%	7.7%	7.3%	9.9%
Total	Count	307	234	205	746	
	Expected Count	307.0	234.0	205.0	746.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.593 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.536	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.950
N of Valid Cases	746		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.34.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	80 ^a	53 ^{a, b}	32 ^b	165
		Expected Count	67.1	52.3	45.6	165.0
		% within Age	26.0%	22.1%	15.3%	21.8%
	Comfortable	Count	160 ^a	150 ^b	137 ^b	447
		Expected Count	181.9	141.7	123.4	447.0
		% within Age	51.9%	62.5%	65.6%	59.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	64 ^a	24 ^b	35 ^a	123
		Expected Count	50.0	39.0	34.0	123.0
		% within Age	20.8%	10.0%	16.7%	16.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	4 ^a	13 ^b	5 ^{a, b}	22
		Expected Count	9.0	7.0	6.1	22.0
		% within Age	1.3%	5.4%	2.4%	2.9%
Total	Count	308	240	209	757	
	Expected Count	308.0	240.0	209.0	757.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.942 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.594	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.803	1	.179
N of Valid Cases	757		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.07.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	84 _{a, b}	70 _b	43 _a	197
		Expected Count	80.4	61.8	54.8	197.0
		% within Age	27.3%	29.5%	20.5%	26.1%
	Comfortable	Count	146 _a	151 _b	138 _b	435
		Expected Count	177.5	136.5	121.0	435.0
		% within Age	47.4%	63.7%	65.7%	57.6%
	Uncomfortable	Count	73 _a	15 _b	22 _b	110
		Expected Count	44.9	34.5	30.6	110.0
		% within Age	23.7%	6.3%	10.5%	14.6%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	5 _{a, b}	1 _b	7 _a	13
		Expected Count	5.3	4.1	3.6	13.0
		% within Age	1.6%	0.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Total	Count	308	237	210	755	
	Expected Count	308.0	237.0	210.0	755.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.876 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	51.004	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.816	1	.366
N of Valid Cases	755		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.62.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	55 ^a	32 ^a	12 ^b	99
		Expected Count	41.7	30.6	26.7	99.0
		% within Age	17.6%	14.0%	6.0%	13.4%
	Comfortable	Count	113 ^a	111 ^b	107 ^b	331
		Expected Count	139.4	102.3	89.3	331.0
		% within Age	36.2%	48.5%	53.5%	44.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	69 ^a	54 ^a	56 ^a	179
		Expected Count	75.4	55.3	48.3	179.0
		% within Age	22.1%	23.6%	28.0%	24.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	75 ^a	32 ^b	25 ^b	132
		Expected Count	55.6	40.8	35.6	132.0
		% within Age	24.0%	14.0%	12.5%	17.8%
Total	Count	312	229	200	741	
	Expected Count	312.0	229.0	200.0	741.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	35.294 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	36.944	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.750	1	.387
N of Valid Cases	741		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.72.

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	35 ^{a, b}	32 ^b	15 ^a	82
		Expected Count	33.8	25.7	22.5	82.0
		% within Age	11.9%	14.3%	7.7%	11.5%
	Agree	Count	185 ^a	121 ^b	122 ^{a, b}	428
		Expected Count	176.6	134.1	117.3	428.0
		% within Age	62.7%	54.0%	62.2%	59.9%
	Disagree	Count	58 ^a	50 ^a	51 ^a	159
		Expected Count	65.6	49.8	43.6	159.0
		% within Age	19.7%	22.3%	26.0%	22.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	17 ^{a, b}	21 ^b	8 ^a	46
		Expected Count	19.0	14.4	12.6	46.0
		% within Age	5.8%	9.4%	4.1%	6.4%
Total	Count	295	224	196	715	
	Expected Count	295.0	224.0	196.0	715.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.989 ^a	6	.043
Likelihood Ratio	13.099	6	.041
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.315	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	715		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.61.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	34 _a	27 _a	19 _a	80
		Expected Count	34.0	23.7	22.2	80.0
		% within Age	11.2%	12.8%	9.6%	11.2%
	Agree	Count	160 _a	90 _b	110 _a	360
		Expected Count	153.2	106.7	100.1	360.0
		% within Age	52.8%	42.7%	55.6%	50.6%
	Disagree	Count	80 _a	67 _a	57 _a	204
		Expected Count	86.8	60.5	56.7	204.0
		% within Age	26.4%	31.8%	28.8%	28.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	29 _{a, b}	27 _b	12 _a	68
		Expected Count	28.9	20.2	18.9	68.0
		% within Age	9.6%	12.8%	6.1%	9.6%
Total	Count	303	211	198	712	
	Expected Count	303.0	211.0	198.0	712.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.916 ^a	6	.091
Likelihood Ratio	11.178	6	.083
Linear-by-Linear Association	.042	1	.837
N of Valid Cases	712		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.91.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	52 _a	39 _a	23 _a	114
		Expected Count	48.3	34.8	30.9	114.0
		% within Age	16.7%	17.4%	11.6%	15.5%
	Agree	Count	122 _a	115 _b	114 _b	351
		Expected Count	148.7	107.1	95.2	351.0
		% within Age	39.2%	51.3%	57.3%	47.8%
	Disagree	Count	107 _a	52 _b	51 _b	210
		Expected Count	89.0	64.1	56.9	210.0
		% within Age	34.4%	23.2%	25.6%	28.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	30 _a	18 _a	11 _a	59
		Expected Count	25.0	18.0	16.0	59.0
		% within Age	9.6%	8.0%	5.5%	8.0%
Total	Count	311	224	199	734	
	Expected Count	311.0	224.0	199.0	734.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.035 ^a	6	.002
Likelihood Ratio	21.376	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.127	1	.077
N of Valid Cases	734		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.00.

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton	Strongly agree	Count	61 _a	31 _b	19 _b	111
		Expected Count	45.7	34.8	30.5	111.0
		% within Age	19.9%	13.2%	9.3%	14.9%
	Agree	Count	103 _a	61 _a	55 _a	219
		Expected Count	90.1	68.7	60.2	219.0
		% within Age	33.6%	26.1%	26.8%	29.4%
	Disagree	Count	113 _a	116 _b	111 _b	340
		Expected Count	139.9	106.6	93.4	340.0
		% within Age	36.8%	49.6%	54.1%	45.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	30 _a	26 _a	20 _a	76
		Expected Count	31.3	23.8	20.9	76.0
		% within Age	9.8%	11.1%	9.8%	10.2%
Total	Count	307	234	205	746	
	Expected Count	307.0	234.0	205.0	746.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.630 ^a	6	.001
Likelihood Ratio	22.948	6	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.873	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	746		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.88.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	52 _a	28 _{a, b}	19 _b	99
		Expected Count	42.4	28.9	27.7	99.0
		% within Age	17.8%	14.1%	9.9%	14.5%
	Agree	Count	140 _a	104 _{a, b}	114 _b	358
		Expected Count	153.3	104.5	100.3	358.0
		% within Age	47.9%	52.3%	59.7%	52.5%
	Disagree	Count	67 _a	53 _a	49 _a	169
		Expected Count	72.4	49.3	47.3	169.0
		% within Age	22.9%	26.6%	25.7%	24.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	33 _a	14 _{a, b}	9 _b	56
		Expected Count	24.0	16.3	15.7	56.0
		% within Age	11.3%	7.0%	4.7%	8.2%
Total	Count	292	199	191	682	
	Expected Count	292.0	199.0	191.0	682.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.299 ^a	6	.018
Likelihood Ratio	15.631	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	.120	1	.729
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.68.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	17 ^a	5 ^{a, b}	3 ^b	25
		Expected Count	10.4	7.7	6.9	25.0
		% within Age	5.5%	2.2%	1.5%	3.4%
	Agree	Count	31 ^a	28 ^a	22 ^a	81
		Expected Count	33.7	25.1	22.2	81.0
		% within Age	10.1%	12.2%	10.8%	10.9%
	Disagree	Count	196 ^a	153 ^{a, b}	147 ^b	496
		Expected Count	206.4	153.5	136.1	496.0
		% within Age	63.6%	66.8%	72.4%	67.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	64 ^a	43 ^a	31 ^a	138
		Expected Count	57.4	42.7	37.9	138.0
		% within Age	20.8%	18.8%	15.3%	18.6%
Total	Count	308	229	203	740	
	Expected Count	308.0	229.0	203.0	740.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.284 ^a	6	.080
Likelihood Ratio	11.366	6	.078
Linear-by-Linear Association	.116	1	.733
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.86.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count	197 ^a	159 ^{a, b}	141 ^b	497
		Expected Count	211.0	153.7	132.3	497.0
		% within Age	68.6%	76.1%	78.3%	73.5%
	No	Count	90 ^a	50 ^{a, b}	39 ^b	179
		Expected Count	76.0	55.3	47.7	179.0
		% within Age	31.4%	23.9%	21.7%	26.5%
Total	Count	287	209	180	676	
	Expected Count	287.0	209.0	180.0	676.0	
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.353 ^a	2	.042
Likelihood Ratio	6.337	2	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.855	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 47.66.

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	188 ^a	135 ^a	111 ^a	434
		Expected Count	181.6	139.0	113.4	434.0
		% within Age	64.8%	60.8%	61.3%	62.6%
	No	Count	102 ^a	87 ^a	70 ^a	259
		Expected Count	108.4	83.0	67.6	259.0
		% within Age	35.2%	39.2%	38.7%	37.4%
Total	Count	290	222	181	693	
	Expected Count	290.0	222.0	181.0	693.0	
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.044 ^a	2	.593
Likelihood Ratio	1.046	2	.593
Linear-by-Linear Association	.718	1	.397
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 67.65.

Do you know any immigrants? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you know any immigrants ?	Yes	Count	143 _a	181 _b	143 _a	533
		Expected Count	150.5	167.1	150.5	533.0
		% within Age	65.6%	74.8%	65.6%	69.0%
?	No	Count	75 _a	61 _b	75 _a	239
		Expected Count	67.5	74.9	67.5	239.0
		% within Age	34.4%	25.2%	34.4%	31.0%
Total		Count	312	242	218	772
		Expected Count	312.0	242.0	218.0	772.0
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.572 ^a	2	.062
Likelihood Ratio	5.688	2	.058
Linear-by-Linear Association	.011	1	.917
N of Valid Cases	772		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 67.49.

Do you have friends who are immigrants? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you have friends who are immigrants?	Yes	Count	166 _a	121 _b	95 _b	382
		Expected Count	151.0	128.3	102.8	382.0
		% within Age	77.9%	66.9%	65.5%	70.9%
	No	Count	47 _a	60 _b	50 _b	157
		Expected Count	62.0	52.7	42.2	157.0
		% within Age	22.1%	33.1%	34.5%	29.1%
Total		Count	213	181	145	539
		Expected Count	213.0	181.0	145.0	539.0
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.578 ^a	2	.014
Likelihood Ratio	8.767	2	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.198	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	539		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 42.24.

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?	Yes	Count 201 ^a	Count 185 ^b	Count 157 ^{a, b}	Count 543
		Expected Count 210.4	Expected Count 175.9	Expected Count 156.7	Expected Count 543.0
		% within Age 73.4%	% within Age 80.8%	% within Age 77.0%	% within Age 76.8%
	No	Count 73 ^a	Count 44 ^b	Count 47 ^{a, b}	Count 164
		Expected Count 63.6	Expected Count 53.1	Expected Count 47.3	Expected Count 164.0
		% within Age 26.6%	% within Age 19.2%	% within Age 23.0%	% within Age 23.2%
Total	Count 274	Count 229	Count 204	Count 707	
	Expected Count 274.0	Expected Count 229.0	Expected Count 204.0	Expected Count 707.0	
	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.868 ^a	2	.145
Likelihood Ratio	3.900	2	.142
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.134	1	.287
N of Valid Cases	707		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 47.32.

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count 81 _a	Count 84 _b	Count 90 _b	Count 255
		Expected Count 103.3	Expected Count 79.4	Expected Count 72.4	Expected Count 255.0
		% within Age 26.0%	% within Age 35.1%	% within Age 41.3%	% within Age 33.2%
	No	Count 230 _a	Count 155 _b	Count 128 _b	Count 513
		Expected Count 207.7	Expected Count 159.6	Expected Count 145.6	Expected Count 513.0
		% within Age 74.0%	% within Age 64.9%	% within Age 58.7%	% within Age 66.8%
Total		Count 311	Count 239	Count 218	Count 768
		Expected Count 311.0	Expected Count 239.0	Expected Count 218.0	Expected Count 768.0
		% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.011 ^a	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	14.095	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.832	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	768		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 72.38.

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total	
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older		
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	74 _a	64 _a	61 _a	199
		Expected Count	71.3	64.3	63.4	199.0
		% within Age	90.2%	86.5%	83.6%	86.9%
	Negative	Count	8 _a	10 _a	12 _a	30
		Expected Count	10.7	9.7	9.6	30.0
		% within Age	9.8%	13.5%	16.4%	13.1%
Total	Count	82	74	73	229	
	Expected Count	82.0	74.0	73.0	229.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.531 ^a	2	.465
Likelihood Ratio	1.553	2	.460
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.517	1	.218
N of Valid Cases	229		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.56.

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? * Age

Crosstab

		Age			Total
		18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count 69 ^a	Count 80 ^b	Count 111 ^c	Count 260
		Expected Count 105.2	Expected Count 80.9	Expected Count 73.9	Expected Count 260.0
		% within Age 22.1%	% within Age 33.3%	% within Age 50.7%	% within Age 33.7%
No		Count 243 ^a	Count 160 ^b	Count 108 ^c	Count 511
		Expected Count 206.8	Expected Count 159.1	Expected Count 145.1	Expected Count 511.0
		% within Age 77.9%	% within Age 66.7%	% within Age 49.3%	% within Age 66.3%
Total		Count 312	Count 240	Count 219	Count 771
		Expected Count 312.0	Expected Count 240.0	Expected Count 219.0	Expected Count 771.0
		% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%	% within Age 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	47.016 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	46.828	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	46.267	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	771		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 73.85.

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Age

Crosstab

			Age			Total
			18-34 years of age	35-54 years of age	55 years of age or older	
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	48 _a	53 _b	66 _b	167
		Expected Count	43.3	54.2	69.5	167.0
		% within Age	100.0%	88.3%	85.7%	90.3%
	Negative	Count	0 _a	7 _b	11 _b	18
		Expected Count	4.7	5.8	7.5	18.0
		% within Age	0.0%	11.7%	14.3%	9.7%
Total	Count	48	60	77	185	
	Expected Count	48.0	60.0	77.0	185.0	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Age categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.250 ^a	2	.027
Likelihood Ratio	11.683	2	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.288	1	.012
N of Valid Cases	185		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.67.

Are either of your parents an immigrant? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Are either of your parents an immigrant?	Yes	Count 17 _a	Count 20 _a	Count 37
		Expected Count 22.1	Expected Count 14.9	Expected Count 37.0
		% within Education 3.7%	% within Education 6.5%	% within Education 4.8%
	No	Count 443 _a	Count 289 _a	Count 732
	Expected Count 437.9	Expected Count 294.1	Expected Count 732.0	
	% within Education 96.3%	% within Education 93.5%	% within Education 95.2%	
Total		Count 460	Count 309	Count 769
		Expected Count 460.0	Expected Count 309.0	Expected Count 769.0
		% within Education 100.0%	% within Education 100.0%	% within Education 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.112 ^a	1	.078		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.535	1	.111		
Likelihood Ratio	3.042	1	.081		
Fisher's Exact Test				.087	.057
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.108	1	.078		
N of Valid Cases	769				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.87.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Count	372 _a	265 _a	637
	Yes Expected Count	380.1	256.9	637.0
	% within Education	86.1%	90.8%	88.0%
	No Count	60 _a	27 _a	87
	No Expected Count	51.9	35.1	87.0
	% within Education	13.9%	9.2%	12.0%
Total	Count	432	292	724
	Expected Count	432.0	292.0	724.0
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.552 ^a	1	.059		
Continuity Correction ^b	3.126	1	.077		
Likelihood Ratio	3.652	1	.056		
Fisher's Exact Test				.063	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.547	1	.060		
N of Valid Cases	724				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 35.09.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total	
		No College Degree	College Degree		
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	A good thing	Count	65 ^a	120 ^b	185
		Expected Count	107.8	77.2	185.0
		% within Education	17.8%	45.8%	29.5%
	A bad thing	Count	59 ^a	11 ^b	70
		Expected Count	40.8	29.2	70.0
		% within Education	16.1%	4.2%	11.1%
	Doesn't make much difference	Count	242 ^a	131 ^b	373
		Expected Count	217.4	155.6	373.0
		% within Education	66.1%	50.0%	59.4%
	Total	Count	366	262	628
		Expected Count	366.0	262.0	628.0
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	66.910 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	68.991	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37.216	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	628		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.20.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total	
		No College Degree	College Degree		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count	308 _a	259 _b	567
		Expected Count	339.1	227.9	567.0
		% within Education	69.2%	86.6%	76.2%
	No	Count	137 _a	40 _b	177
		Expected Count	105.9	71.1	177.0
		% within Education	30.8%	13.4%	23.8%
Total	Count	445	299	744	
	Expected Count	445.0	299.0	744.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.893 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	28.941	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	31.605	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	29.853	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	744				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 71.13.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count 301 ^a	256 ^b	557
		Expected Count 331.9	225.1	557.0
		% within Education 68.3%	85.6%	75.3%
No	No	Count 140 ^a	43 ^b	183
		Expected Count 109.1	73.9	183.0
		% within Education 31.7%	14.4%	24.7%
Total		Count 441	299	740
		Expected Count 441.0	299.0	740.0
		% within Education 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.865 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	27.940	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	30.364	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.826	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	740				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 73.94.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Yes	Count 309 ^a	253 ^b	562
		Expected Count 337.7	224.3	562.0
		% within Education 69.1%	85.2%	75.5%
No		Count 138 ^a	44 ^b	182
		Expected Count 109.3	72.7	182.0
		% within Education 30.9%	14.8%	24.5%
Total		Count 447	297	744
		Expected Count 447.0	297.0	744.0
		% within Education 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.900 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	24.038	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	26.117	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	24.866	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	744				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 72.65.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? *
Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total	
		No College Degree	College Degree		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count	288 ^a	256 ^b	544
		Expected Count	326.1	217.9	544.0
		% within Education	64.6%	85.9%	73.1%
	No	Count	158 ^a	42 ^b	200
		Expected Count	119.9	80.1	200.0
		% within Education	35.4%	14.1%	26.9%
Total	Count	446	298	744	
	Expected Count	446.0	298.0	744.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.358 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	40.280	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	43.921	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	41.302	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	744				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 80.11.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	69 ^a	119 ^b	188
		Expected Count	111.5	76.5	188.0
		% within Education	15.5%	39.0%	25.1%
	Comfortable	Count	298 ^a	169 ^b	467
		Expected Count	277.1	189.9	467.0
		% within Education	67.0%	55.4%	62.3%
	Uncomfortable	Count	70 ^a	14 ^b	84
		Expected Count	49.8	34.2	84.0
		% within Education	15.7%	4.6%	11.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	8 ^a	3 ^a	11
		Expected Count	6.5	4.5	11.0
		% within Education	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%
Total	Count	445	305	750	
	Expected Count	445.0	305.0	750.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	64.657 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	66.386	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	58.104	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	750		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.47.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	59 ^a	123 ^b	182
		Expected Count	108.2	73.8	182.0
		% within Education	13.1%	40.2%	24.1%
	Comfortable	Count	295 ^a	172 ^b	467
		Expected Count	277.7	189.3	467.0
		% within Education	65.7%	56.2%	61.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	80 ^a	8 ^b	88
		Expected Count	52.3	35.7	88.0
		% within Education	17.8%	2.6%	11.7%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	15 ^a	3 ^b	18
		Expected Count	10.7	7.3	18.0
		% within Education	3.3%	1.0%	2.4%
Total	Count	449	306	755	
	Expected Count	449.0	306.0	755.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	98.251 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.637	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	89.718	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	755		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.30.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	41 _a	94 _b	135
		Expected Count	80.1	54.9	135.0
		% within Education	9.3%	31.0%	18.1%
	Comfortable	Count	236 _a	158 _a	394
		Expected Count	233.8	160.2	394.0
		% within Education	53.4%	52.1%	52.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	108 _a	34 _b	142
		Expected Count	84.2	57.8	142.0
		% within Education	24.4%	11.2%	19.1%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	57 _a	17 _b	74
		Expected Count	43.9	30.1	74.0
		% within Education	12.9%	5.6%	9.9%
Total	Count	442	303	745	
	Expected Count	442.0	303.0	745.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	73.042 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	74.188	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	60.679	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	745		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30.10.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	57 ^a	108 ^b	165
		Expected Count	98.3	66.7	165.0
		% within Education	12.7%	35.4%	21.9%
	Comfortable	Count	286 ^a	160 ^b	446
		Expected Count	265.8	180.2	446.0
		% within Education	63.6%	52.5%	59.1%
	Uncomfortable	Count	92 ^a	31 ^b	123
		Expected Count	73.3	49.7	123.0
		% within Education	20.4%	10.2%	16.3%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	15 ^a	6 ^a	21
		Expected Count	12.5	8.5	21.0
		% within Education	3.3%	2.0%	2.8%
Total	Count	450	305	755	
	Expected Count	450.0	305.0	755.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	59.828 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	59.707	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	47.107	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	755		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.48.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	77 ^a	120 ^b	197
		Expected Count	116.7	80.3	197.0
		% within Education	17.3%	39.2%	26.2%
	Comfortable	Count	263 ^a	171 ^a	434
		Expected Count	257.2	176.8	434.0
		% within Education	59.1%	55.9%	57.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	95 ^a	13 ^b	108
		Expected Count	64.0	44.0	108.0
		% within Education	21.3%	4.2%	14.4%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	10 ^a	2 ^a	12
		Expected Count	7.1	4.9	12.0
		% within Education	2.2%	0.7%	1.6%
Total	Count	445	306	751	
	Expected Count	445.0	306.0	751.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	73.263 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	79.364	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	69.714	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	751		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.89.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	27 ^a	73 ^b	100
		Expected Count	60.5	39.5	100.0
		% within Education	6.0%	25.0%	13.5%
	Comfortable	Count	207 ^a	124 ^a	331
		Expected Count	200.4	130.6	331.0
		% within Education	46.2%	42.5%	44.7%
	Uncomfortable	Count	117 ^a	62 ^a	179
		Expected Count	108.4	70.6	179.0
		% within Education	26.1%	21.2%	24.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	97 ^a	33 ^b	130
		Expected Count	78.7	51.3	130.0
		% within Education	21.7%	11.3%	17.6%
Total	Count	448	292	740	
	Expected Count	448.0	292.0	740.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	60.167 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	59.978	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	40.293	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 39.46.

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	43 _a	39 _a	82
		Expected Count	50.8	31.2	82.0
		% within Education	9.7%	14.3%	11.5%
	Agree	Count	262 _a	165 _a	427
		Expected Count	264.3	162.7	427.0
		% within Education	59.3%	60.7%	59.8%
	Disagree	Count	106 _a	53 _a	159
		Expected Count	98.4	60.6	159.0
		% within Education	24.0%	19.5%	22.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	31 _a	15 _a	46
		Expected Count	28.5	17.5	46.0
		% within Education	7.0%	5.5%	6.4%
Total	Count	442	272	714	
	Expected Count	442.0	272.0	714.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.286 ^a	3	.152
Likelihood Ratio	5.249	3	.154
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.569	1	.033
N of Valid Cases	714		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.52.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	42 _a	38 _a	80
		Expected Count	48.6	31.4	80.0
		% within Education	9.7%	13.6%	11.3%
	Agree	Count	197 _a	163 _b	360
		Expected Count	218.7	141.3	360.0
		% within Education	45.6%	58.4%	50.6%
	Disagree	Count	143 _a	60 _b	203
		Expected Count	123.3	79.7	203.0
		% within Education	33.1%	21.5%	28.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	50 _a	18 _b	68
		Expected Count	41.3	26.7	68.0
		% within Education	11.6%	6.5%	9.6%
Total	Count	432	279	711	
	Expected Count	432.0	279.0	711.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.428 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.855	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.310	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	711		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.68.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	40 _a	75 _b	115
		Expected Count	68.2	46.8	115.0
		% within Education	9.2%	25.1%	15.7%
	Agree	Count	190 _a	160 _b	350
		Expected Count	207.4	142.6	350.0
		% within Education	43.7%	53.5%	47.7%
	Disagree	Count	164 _a	46 _b	210
		Expected Count	124.5	85.5	210.0
		% within Education	37.7%	15.4%	28.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	41 _a	18 _a	59
		Expected Count	35.0	24.0	59.0
		% within Education	9.4%	6.0%	8.0%
Total	Count	435	299	734	
	Expected Count	435.0	299.0	734.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.546 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	67.589	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	52.726	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	734		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.03.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	25 _a	74 _b	99
		Expected Count	58.4	40.6	99.0
		% within Education	6.2%	26.4%	14.5%
	Agree	Count	208 _a	150 _a	358
		Expected Count	211.0	147.0	358.0
		% within Education	51.7%	53.6%	52.5%
	Disagree	Count	126 _a	43 _b	169
		Expected Count	99.6	69.4	169.0
		% within Education	31.3%	15.4%	24.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	43 _a	13 _b	56
		Expected Count	33.0	23.0	56.0
		% within Education	10.7%	4.6%	8.2%
Total	Count	402	280	682	
	Expected Count	402.0	280.0	682.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	70.930 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.380	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	59.139	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.99.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	23 _a	1 _b	24
		Expected Count	14.4	9.6	24.0
		% within Education	5.2%	0.3%	3.2%
	Agree	Count	69 _a	12 _b	81
		Expected Count	48.4	32.6	81.0
		% within Education	15.6%	4.0%	11.0%
	Disagree	Count	295 _a	201 _a	496
		Expected Count	296.7	199.3	496.0
		% within Education	66.7%	67.7%	67.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	55 _a	83 _b	138
		Expected Count	82.5	55.5	138.0
		% within Education	12.4%	27.9%	18.7%
Total	Count	442	297	739	
	Expected Count	442.0	297.0	739.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	57.538 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	64.298	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	56.402	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	739		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.65.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count 298 _a	Count 198 _a	Count 496
		Expected Count 303.2	Expected Count 192.8	Expected Count 496.0
		% within Education 72.3%	% within Education 75.6%	% within Education 73.6%
	No	Count 114 _a	Count 64 _a	Count 178
	Expected Count 108.8	Expected Count 69.2	Expected Count 178.0	
	% within Education 27.7%	% within Education 24.4%	% within Education 26.4%	
Total		Count 412	Count 262	Count 674
		Expected Count 412.0	Expected Count 262.0	Expected Count 674.0
		% within Education 100.0%	% within Education 100.0%	% within Education 100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.866 ^a	1	.352		
Continuity Correction ^b	.708	1	.400		
Likelihood Ratio	.872	1	.350		
Fisher's Exact Test				.371	.200
Linear-by-Linear Association	.865	1	.352		
N of Valid Cases	674				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 69.19.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total	
		No College Degree	College Degree		
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	286 _a	147 _b	433
		Expected Count	266.3	166.7	433.0
		% within Education	67.3%	55.3%	62.7%
	No	Count	139 _a	119 _b	258
		Expected Count	158.7	99.3	258.0
		% within Education	32.7%	44.7%	37.3%
Total	Count	425	266	691	
	Expected Count	425.0	266.0	691.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.121 ^a	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	9.614	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	10.057	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.107	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	691				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 99.32.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you know any immigrants? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total	
		No College Degree	College Degree		
Do you know any immigrants?	Yes	Count	284 _a	248 _b	532
		Expected Count	318.5	213.5	532.0
		% within Education	61.6%	80.3%	69.1%
	No	Count	177 _a	61 _b	238
		Expected Count	142.5	95.5	238.0
		% within Education	38.4%	19.7%	30.9%
Total	Count	461	309	770	
	Expected Count	461.0	309.0	770.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.143 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	29.276	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	31.256	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	30.104	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 95.51.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you have friends who are immigrants? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Do you have friends who are immigrants?	Yes	Count	198 _a	184 _a	382
		Expected Count	204.9	177.1	382.0
		% within Education	68.8%	73.9%	71.1%
	No	Count	90 _a	65 _a	155
		Expected Count	83.1	71.9	155.0
		% within Education	31.3%	26.1%	28.9%
Total	Count	288	249	537	
	Expected Count	288.0	249.0	537.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.722 ^a	1	.189		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.481	1	.224		
Likelihood Ratio	1.728	1	.189		
Fisher's Exact Test				.215	.112
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.719	1	.190		
N of Valid Cases	537				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 71.87.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants? *
Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?	Yes	Count	315 ^a	227 ^b	542
		Expected Count	343.7	198.3	542.0
		% within Education	70.5%	88.0%	76.9%
		% of Total	44.7%	32.2%	76.9%
	No	Count	132 ^a	31 ^b	163
		Expected Count	103.3	59.7	163.0
		% within Education	29.5%	12.0%	23.1%
		% of Total	18.7%	4.4%	23.1%
Total		Count	447	258	705
		Expected Count	447.0	258.0	705.0
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	63.4%	36.6%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.232 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	27.255	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	30.428	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.192	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	705				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 59.65.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Education

Crosstab

		Education		Total
		No College Degree	College Degree	
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count 114 _a	141 _b	255
		Expected Count 152.8	102.2	255.0
		% within Education 24.8%	45.9%	33.3%
No	Count 345 _a	166 _b	511	
	Expected Count 306.2	204.8	511.0	
	% within Education 75.2%	54.1%	66.7%	
Total	Count 459	307	766	
	Expected Count 459.0	307.0	766.0	
	% within Education 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	36.851 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	35.907	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	36.553	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	36.802	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	766				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 102.20.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	78 _a	121 _b	199
		Expected Count	87.4	111.6	199.0
		% within Education	77.2%	93.8%	86.5%
	Negative	Count	23 _a	8 _b	31
		Expected Count	13.6	17.4	31.0
		% within Education	22.8%	6.2%	13.5%
Total	Count	101	129	230	
	Expected Count	101.0	129.0	230.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.339 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	11.955	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.520	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.281	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	230				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.61.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count	140 _a	119 _b	259
		Expected Count	155.1	103.9	259.0
		% within Education	30.4%	38.6%	33.7%
	No	Count	320 _a	189 _b	509
		Expected Count	304.9	204.1	509.0
		% within Education	69.6%	61.4%	66.3%
Total		Count	460	308	768
		Expected Count	460.0	308.0	768.0
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.552 ^a	1	.018		
Continuity Correction ^b	5.191	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	5.519	1	.019		
Fisher's Exact Test				.020	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.545	1	.019		
N of Valid Cases	768				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 103.87.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Education

Crosstab

			Education		Total
			No College Degree	College Degree	
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	96 _a	72 _a	168
		Expected Count	93.0	75.0	168.0
		% within Education	93.2%	86.7%	90.3%
	Negative	Count	7 _a	11 _a	18
		Expected Count	10.0	8.0	18.0
		% within Education	6.8%	13.3%	9.7%
Total	Count	103	83	186	
	Expected Count	103.0	83.0	186.0	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Education categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.192 ^a	1	.139		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.516	1	.218		
Likelihood Ratio	2.182	1	.140		
Fisher's Exact Test				.211	.109
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.180	1	.140		
N of Valid Cases	186				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.03.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another? *
 Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Do you think immigrants in Dayton intentionally live close to one another?	Yes	Count	180 _a	459 _a	639
		Expected Count	181.3	457.7	639.0
		% within Connection	87.4%	88.3%	88.0%
	No	Count	26 _a	61 _a	87
		Expected Count	24.7	62.3	87.0
		% within Connection	12.6%	11.7%	12.0%
Total	Count	206	520	726	
	Expected Count	206.0	520.0	726.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.111 ^a	1	.739		
Continuity Correction ^b	.043	1	.837		
Likelihood Ratio	.110	1	.740		
Fisher's Exact Test				.800	.413
Linear-by-Linear Association	.111	1	.739		
N of Valid Cases	726				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.69.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Is it a good thing, bad thing, or does it not make much difference that immigrants intentionally live close to one another?	Count	32 _a	153 _b	185	
	A good thing	Expected Count	51.8	185.0	
		% within Connection	18.2%	29.4%	
	A bad thing	Count	26 _a	44 _a	70
		Expected Count	19.6	50.4	70.0
		% within Connection	14.8%	9.7%	11.1%
	Doesn't make much difference	Count	118 _a	256 _b	374
		Expected Count	104.6	269.4	374.0
		% within Connection	67.0%	56.5%	59.5%
Total	Count	176	453	629	
	Expected Count	176.0	453.0	629.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.760 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	16.607	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.819	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	629		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.59.

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into Dayton?	Yes	Count	144 ^a	423 ^b	567
		Expected Count	167.7	399.3	567.0
		% within Connection	65.5%	80.7%	76.2%
	No	Count	76 ^a	101 ^b	177
		Expected Count	52.3	124.7	177.0
		% within Connection	34.5%	19.3%	23.8%
Total	Count	220	524	744	
	Expected Count	220.0	524.0	744.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.929 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	19.096	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	19.062	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.902	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	744				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 52.34.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move into your neighborhood?	Yes	Count	129 ^a	430 ^b	559
		Expected Count	159.7	399.3	559.0
		% within Connection	60.8%	81.1%	75.3%
	No	Count	83 ^a	100 ^b	183
		Expected Count	52.3	130.7	183.0
		% within Connection	39.2%	18.9%	24.7%
Total	Count	212	530	742	
	Expected Count	212.0	530.0	742.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	33.529 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	32.446	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	31.773	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	33.484	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 52.29.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Would you encourage an immigrant to move onto your block?	Yes	Count	145 ^a	418 ^b	563
		Expected Count	165.8	397.2	563.0
		% within Connection	65.9%	79.3%	75.4%
	No	Count	75 ^a	109 ^b	184
		Expected Count	54.2	129.8	184.0
		% within Connection	34.1%	20.7%	24.6%
Total	Count	220	527	747	
	Expected Count	220.0	527.0	747.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.029 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	14.316	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	14.459	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.009	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	747				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 54.19.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live? *
 Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Would you encourage an immigrant to move next-door to where you live?	Yes	Count 128 _a	419 _b	547
	Expected Count	158.9	388.1	547.0
	% within Connection	59.0%	79.1%	73.2%
No	Count	89 _a	111 _b	200
	Expected Count	58.1	141.9	200.0
	% within Connection	41.0%	20.9%	26.8%
	Count	217	530	747
Total	Expected Count	217.0	530.0	747.0
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.634 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	30.618	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	30.231	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	31.592	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	747				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 58.10.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
 Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants from Europe moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	34 ^a	154 ^b	188
		Expected Count	54.3	133.8	188.0
		% within Connection	15.7%	28.8%	25.0%
	Comfortable	Count	139 ^a	328 ^a	467
		Expected Count	134.8	332.2	467.0
		% within Connection	64.1%	61.3%	62.1%
	Uncomfortable	Count	41 ^a	44 ^b	85
		Expected Count	24.5	60.5	85.0
		% within Connection	18.9%	8.2%	11.3%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	3 ^a	9 ^a	12
		Expected Count	3.5	8.5	12.0
		% within Connection	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%
Total	Count	217	535	752	
	Expected Count	217.0	535.0	752.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.448 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	26.070	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.934	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	752		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.46.

If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
 Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants from Asia moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	30 ^a	152 ^b	182
		Expected Count	53.2	128.8	182.0
		% within Connection	13.6%	28.4%	24.1%
	Comfortable	Count	143 ^a	325 ^a	468
		Expected Count	136.8	331.2	468.0
		% within Connection	64.7%	60.7%	61.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	40 ^a	48 ^b	88
		Expected Count	25.7	62.3	88.0
		% within Connection	18.1%	9.0%	11.6%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	8 ^a	10 ^a	18
		Expected Count	5.3	12.7	18.0
		% within Connection	3.6%	1.9%	2.4%
Total	Count	221	535	756	
	Expected Count	221.0	535.0	756.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.903 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	28.556	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	26.388	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	756		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?
 * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants from the Middle East moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	15 ^a	120 ^b	135
		Expected Count	39.3	95.7	135.0
		% within Connection	6.9%	22.7%	18.1%
	Comfortable	Count	109 ^a	286 ^a	395
		Expected Count	114.9	280.1	395.0
		% within Connection	50.2%	54.1%	52.9%
	Uncomfortable	Count	49 ^a	93 ^a	142
		Expected Count	41.3	100.7	142.0
		% within Connection	22.6%	17.6%	19.0%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	44 ^a	30 ^b	74
		Expected Count	21.5	52.5	74.0
		% within Connection	20.3%	5.7%	9.9%
Total	Count	217	529	746	
	Expected Count	217.0	529.0	746.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	56.691 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.112	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	52.960	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	746		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.53.

If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants from Mexico, Central, or South America moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	25 ^a	140 ^b	165
		Expected Count	48.1	116.9	165.0
		% within Connection	11.3%	26.1%	21.8%
	Comfortable	Count	123 ^a	324 ^a	447
		Expected Count	130.3	316.7	447.0
		% within Connection	55.7%	60.3%	59.0%
	Uncomfortable	Count	66 ^a	58 ^b	124
		Expected Count	36.2	87.8	124.0
		% within Connection	29.9%	10.8%	16.4%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	7 ^a	15 ^a	22
		Expected Count	6.4	15.6	22.0
		% within Connection	3.2%	2.8%	2.9%
Total	Count	221	537	758	
	Expected Count	221.0	537.0	758.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	51.105 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	49.734	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37.573	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	758		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.41.

If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
 Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants from Africa moved into your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	33 ^a	163 ^b	196
		Expected Count	57.5	138.5	196.0
		% within Connection	14.9%	30.6%	26.0%
	Comfortable	Count	121 ^a	314 ^a	435
		Expected Count	127.7	307.3	435.0
		% within Connection	54.8%	59.0%	57.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	56 ^a	53 ^b	109
		Expected Count	32.0	77.0	109.0
		% within Connection	25.3%	10.0%	14.5%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	11 ^a	2 ^b	13
		Expected Count	3.8	9.2	13.0
		% within Connection	5.0%	0.4%	1.7%
Total	Count	221	532	753	
	Expected Count	221.0	532.0	753.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	59.946 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.280	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	54.130	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	753		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.82.

If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel? *
 Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
If immigrants became the majority in your neighborhood, how would you feel?	Very comfortable	Count	7 ^a	93 ^b	100
		Expected Count	29.6	70.4	100.0
		% within Connection	3.2%	17.8%	13.5%
	Comfortable	Count	89 ^a	243 ^a	332
		Expected Count	98.1	233.9	332.0
		% within Connection	40.6%	46.6%	44.8%
	Uncomfortable	Count	66 ^a	113 ^b	179
		Expected Count	52.9	126.1	179.0
		% within Connection	30.1%	21.6%	24.2%
	Very uncomfortable	Count	57 ^a	73 ^b	130
		Expected Count	38.4	91.6	130.0
		% within Connection	26.0%	14.0%	17.5%
Total	Count	219	522	741	
	Expected Count	219.0	522.0	741.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.994 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	49.002	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	39.490	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	741		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.55.

Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture: * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants are willing to integrate into the American culture:	Strongly agree	Count	26 ^a	56 ^a	82
		Expected Count	25.0	57.0	82.0
		% within Connection	11.9%	11.3%	11.5%
	Agree	Count	125 ^a	302 ^a	427
		Expected Count	130.4	296.6	427.0
		% within Connection	57.3%	60.9%	59.8%
	Disagree	Count	62 ^a	97 ^b	159
		Expected Count	48.5	110.5	159.0
		% within Connection	28.4%	19.6%	22.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	5 ^a	41 ^b	46
		Expected Count	14.0	32.0	46.0
		% within Connection	2.3%	8.3%	6.4%
Total	Count	218	496	714	
	Expected Count	218.0	496.0	714.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.124 ^a	3	.003
Likelihood Ratio	15.618	3	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	.383	1	.536
N of Valid Cases	714		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.04.

Immigrants work hard to learn English * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants work hard to learn English	Strongly agree	Count	14 _a	66 _b	80
		Expected Count	24.0	56.0	80.0
		% within Connection	6.6%	13.3%	11.3%
	Agree	Count	105 _a	255 _a	360
		Expected Count	107.8	252.2	360.0
		% within Connection	49.3%	51.2%	50.6%
	Disagree	Count	66 _a	136 _a	202
		Expected Count	60.5	141.5	202.0
		% within Connection	31.0%	27.3%	28.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	28 _a	41 _b	69
		Expected Count	20.7	48.3	69.0
		% within Connection	13.1%	8.2%	9.7%
Total	Count	213	498	711	
	Expected Count	213.0	498.0	711.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.444 ^a	3	.015
Likelihood Ratio	10.871	3	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.320	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	711		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.67.

Immigrants are beneficial to the economy * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants are beneficial to the economy	Strongly agree	Count	17 _a	98 _b	115
		Expected Count	34.2	80.8	115.0
		% within Connection	7.8%	19.0%	15.6%
		% of Total	2.3%	13.3%	15.6%
	Agree	Count	92 _a	260 _b	352
		Expected Count	104.7	247.3	352.0
		% within Connection	42.0%	50.3%	47.8%
		% of Total	12.5%	35.3%	47.8%
	Disagree	Count	96 _a	114 _b	210
		Expected Count	62.5	147.5	210.0
		% within Connection	43.8%	22.1%	28.5%
		% of Total	13.0%	15.5%	28.5%
Strongly disagree	Count	14 _a	45 _a	59	
	Expected Count	17.6	41.4	59.0	
	% within Connection	6.4%	8.7%	8.0%	
	% of Total	1.9%	6.1%	8.0%	
Total	Count	219	517	736	
	Expected Count	219.0	517.0	736.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	29.8%	70.2%		

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.154 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	41.103	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.206	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	736		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.56.

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants take jobs away from people in Dayton	Strongly agree	Count	46 ^a	64 ^b	110
		Expected Count	31.8	78.2	110.0
		% within Connection	21.4%	12.1%	14.8%
	Agree	Count	70 ^a	148 ^a	218
		Expected Count	63.0	155.0	218.0
		% within Connection	32.6%	28.0%	29.3%
	Disagree	Count	90 ^a	250 ^a	340
		Expected Count	98.3	241.7	340.0
		% within Connection	41.9%	47.3%	45.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	9 ^a	67 ^b	76
		Expected Count	22.0	54.0	76.0
		% within Connection	4.2%	12.7%	10.2%
Total	Count	215	529	744	
	Expected Count	215.0	529.0	744.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.767 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.148	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.401	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.96.

Immigrants make our community a better place to live * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants make our community a better place to live	Strongly agree	Count	15 _a	84 _b	99
		Expected Count	28.7	70.3	99.0
		% within Connection	7.6%	17.4%	14.5%
	Agree	Count	86 _a	272 _b	358
		Expected Count	103.9	254.1	358.0
		% within Connection	43.4%	56.2%	52.5%
	Disagree	Count	72 _a	97 _b	169
		Expected Count	49.1	119.9	169.0
		% within Connection	36.4%	20.0%	24.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	25 _a	31 _b	56
		Expected Count	16.3	39.7	56.0
		% within Connection	12.6%	6.4%	8.2%
Total	Count	198	484	682	
	Expected Count	198.0	484.0	682.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	35.350 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	35.196	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.061	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.26.

Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Immigrants are responsible for much of the crime that is committed	Strongly agree	Count	12 ^a	13 ^b	25
		Expected Count	7.2	17.8	25.0
		% within Connection	5.6%	2.5%	3.4%
	Agree	Count	33 ^a	48 ^b	81
		Expected Count	23.4	57.6	81.0
		% within Connection	15.4%	9.1%	10.9%
	Disagree	Count	155 ^a	341 ^b	496
		Expected Count	143.4	352.6	496.0
		% within Connection	72.4%	64.8%	67.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	14 ^a	124 ^b	138
		Expected Count	39.9	98.1	138.0
		% within Connection	6.5%	23.6%	18.6%
Total	Count	214	526	740	
	Expected Count	214.0	526.0	740.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.908 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	39.278	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	30.880	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.23.

Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton? * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Do you think immigrants feel welcome in Dayton?	Yes	Count	143 _a	355 _a	498
		Expected Count	136.1	361.9	498.0
	No	Count	42 _a	137 _a	179
		Expected Count	48.9	130.1	179.0
		% within Connection	77.3%	72.2%	73.6%
		Count	185	492	677
Total		Expected Count	185.0	492.0	677.0
		% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.828 ^a	1	.176		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.573	1	.210		
Likelihood Ratio	1.867	1	.172		
Fisher's Exact Test				.204	.104
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.826	1	.177		
N of Valid Cases	677				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 48.91.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants? * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Do you think people in Dayton discriminate against immigrants?	Yes	Count	131 _a	302 _a	433
		Expected Count	121.4	311.6	433.0
		% within Connection	67.5%	60.6%	62.6%
	No	Count	63 _a	196 _a	259
		Expected Count	72.6	186.4	259.0
		% within Connection	32.5%	39.4%	37.4%
Total	Count	194	498	692	
	Expected Count	194.0	498.0	692.0	
		% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.824 ^a	1	.093		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.538	1	.111		
Likelihood Ratio	2.860	1	.091		
Fisher's Exact Test				.097	.055
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093		
N of Valid Cases	692				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 72.61.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants? *
 Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
Do you ever buy goods or services from businesses owned by immigrants?	Yes	Count	127 _a	416 _b	543
		Expected Count	172.3	370.7	543.0
		% within Connection	56.7%	86.3%	76.9%
	No	Count	97 _a	66 _b	163
		Expected Count	51.7	111.3	163.0
		% within Connection	43.3%	13.7%	23.1%
Total	Count	224	482	706	
	Expected Count	224.0	482.0	706.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.511 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	73.852	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	71.480	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	75.404	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	706				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 51.72.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total		
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant			
Have you heard of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Yes	Count	43 ^a	212 ^b	255	
		Expected Count	76.7	178.3	255.0	
		% within Connection	18.6%	39.5%	33.2%	
	No		Count	188 ^a	325 ^b	513
			Expected Count	154.3	358.7	513.0
			% within Connection	81.4%	60.5%	66.8%
Total		Count	231	537	768	
		Expected Count	231.0	537.0	768.0	
		% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.701 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	30.768	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	33.779	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	31.660	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	768				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 76.70.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative? * Connection

Crosstab

			Connection		Total
			No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
What is your perception of the Welcome Dayton Initiative?	Positive	Count	27 _a	171 _a	198
		Expected Count	29.4	168.6	198.0
		% within Connection	79.4%	87.7%	86.5%
	Negative	Count	7 _a	24 _a	31
		Expected Count	4.6	26.4	31.0
		% within Connection	20.6%	12.3%	13.5%
Total	Count	34	195	229	
	Expected Count	34.0	195.0	229.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.696 ^a	1	.193		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.062	1	.303		
Likelihood Ratio	1.535	1	.215		
Fisher's Exact Test				.185	.151
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.689	1	.194		
N of Valid Cases	229				

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.60.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC? *
 Connection

Crosstab

				Connection		Total
				No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant	
Have you heard of the Human Relations Council also known as the HRC?	Yes	Count	65 ^a	195 ^b		260
		Expected Count	77.7	182.3		260.0
		% within Connection	28.3%	36.1%		33.8%
No		Count	165 ^a	345 ^b		510
		Expected Count	152.3	357.7		510.0
		% within Connection	71.7%	63.9%		66.2%
		% of Total	21.4%	44.8%		66.2%
Total		Count	230	540		770
		Expected Count	230.0	540.0		770.0
		% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
		% of Total	29.9%	70.1%		100.0%

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.445 ^a	1	.035		
Continuity Correction ^b	4.101	1	.043		
Likelihood Ratio	4.525	1	.033		
Fisher's Exact Test				.038	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.439	1	.035		
N of Valid Cases	770				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 77.66.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

What is your perception of the Human Relations Council? * Connection

Crosstab

		Connection		Total	
		No direct connection to an immigrant	Knows, has a parent that is, or a friend that is an immigrant		
What is your perception of the Human Relations Council?	Positive	Count	41 ^a	126 ^a	167
		Expected Count	41.5	125.5	167.0
		% within Connection	89.1%	90.6%	90.3%
		% of Total	22.2%	68.1%	90.3%
	Negative	Count	5 ^a	13 ^a	18
		Expected Count	4.5	13.5	18.0
% within Connection		10.9%	9.4%	9.7%	
	% of Total	2.7%	7.0%	9.7%	
Total	Count	46	139	185	
	Expected Count	46.0	139.0	185.0	
	% within Connection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	24.9%	75.1%	100.0%	

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of Connection categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.091 ^a	1	.763		
Continuity Correction ^b	.000	1	.989		
Likelihood Ratio	.089	1	.766		
Fisher's Exact Test				.777	.478
Linear-by-Linear Association	.090	1	.764		
N of Valid Cases	185				

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.48.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table